Construction Notice for the West Mount Vernon-South Kenton 138 kV Transmission Line Temporary Relocation Project



An AEP Company

PUCO Case No. 25-0447-EL-BNR

Submitted to: The Ohio Power Siting Board Pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code Section 4906-6-05

Submitted by: AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.

May 29, 2025

CONSTRUCTION NOTICE

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.

West Mount Vernon-South Kenton138 kV Transmission Line Temporary Relocation Project

4906-6-05 Accelerated Application Requirements

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. (the Company) provides the following information to the Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) in accordance with the accelerated application requirements of Ohio Administrative Code Section 4906-6-05.

4906-6-05(B) General Information

B(1) Project Description

Provide the name of the project and applicant's reference number, names and reference number(s) of resulting circuits, a brief description of the project, and why the project meets the requirements for a letter of notification or construction notice application.

The Company proposes to construct the West Mount Vernon-South Kenton 138 kV Transmission Line Temporary Relocation Project (the "Project"), in Buck Township, Hardin County, Ohio. The Project involves installing less than 0.2 mile of temporary 138 kV line on the South Kenton Station site, which will support the rebuild of the South Kenton Station. As part of the Project, a temporary switch will also be installed along the line. The existing South Kenton Station is inoperable and is required to be rebuilt. The Project will allow the West Mount Vernon - South Kenton 138 kV Transmission Line to remain energized while the substation is rebuilt and a permanent solution (which would be the subject of a future separate application) is identified. The location of the Project is shown on **Figures 1 and 2** in **Appendix A**.

The Project meets the requirements for a Construction Notice (CN) as defined by Item 1(a) of Appendix A to Ohio Administrative Code Section 4906-1-01, *Application Requirement Matrix for Electric Power Transmission Lines*:

(1) New construction, extension, or relocation of single or multiple circuit electric power transmission line(s), or upgrading existing transmission or distribution line(s) for operation at a higher transmission voltage, as follows:

(a) *Line*(s) not greater than 0.2 miles in length

The Project has been assigned Case No. 25-0447-EL-BNR.

B(2) Statement of Need

If the proposed project is an electric power transmission line or gas pipeline, the applicant provide a statement explaining the need for the proposed facility.

The Project is required to ensure continued operation of the existing transmission line while the South Kenton Station is rebuilt.

Failure to construct the Project may significantly increase the risk of outages to area customers. The Project's proposal to construct temporary poles will mitigate this risk.

As the Project results in no operation, modeling, or topology changes, the Project will not be brought through the PJM M-3 process. In addition, the Project is not included in the Company's 2025 Long Term Forecast Report, as the Project solution was unknown at the time of filing.

B(3) Project Location

Provide the location of the project in relation to existing or proposed lines and substations shown on an area system map of sufficient scale and size to show existing and proposed transmission facilities in the project area.

The location of the Project in relation to existing transmission lines and substations is shown on **Figure 1**, in **Appendix A**.

B(4) Alternatives Considered

Describe the alternatives considered and reasons why the proposed location or route is best suited for the proposed facility, including but not be limited to, impacts associated with socioeconomic, ecological, construction, or engineering aspects of the project.

The Project proposes to temporarily relocate structures along an existing 138 kV transmission line. The location of the Project is the most suitable solution for the Project, as the poles remain primarily on property owned by an affiliate of the Company, avoids other transmission lines around the substation, and will only require a temporary easement of less than 0.1 acre on an adjacent property. In addition, no impacts to wetlands, streams, or known cultural resource areas are anticipated. Therefore, this Project represents the most suitable location and is the most appropriate solution for meeting the Company's needs in the area.

B(5) Public Information Program

Describe its public information program to inform affected property owners and residents of the nature of the project and the proposed timeframe for project construction and restoration activities.

The Company maintains a website (<u>http://aeptransmission.com/ohio/</u>) on which an electronic copy of this CN is available. An electronic copy of the CN will be served to the public library in each political subdivision affected by this Project. The Company also retains land agents who will discuss project timelines, construction and restoration activities with affected owners and tenants.

West Mount Vernon-South Kenton 138 kV Transmission Line Temporary Relocation Project 25-0447-EL-BNR

CONSTRUCTION NOTICE FOR THE WEST MOUNT VERNON-SOUTH KENTON 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE TEMPORARY RELOCATION PROJECT

B(6) Construction Schedule

Provide an anticipated construction schedule and proposed in-service date of the project.

Construction of the Project is planned to begin in June 2025 with an anticipated in-service date of July 2025.

B(7) Area Map

Provide a map of at least 1:24,000 scale clearly depicting the facility and proposed limits of disturbance with clearly marked streets, roads, and highways, and an aerial image.

Figure 1, in Appendix A, identifies the location of the Project area on a United States Geological Survey 1:24,000 quadrangle maps of Mount Victory and Kenton, Ohio. **Appendix A, Figure 2** displays the Project components on a 2023 aerial photograph.

B(8) Property Agreements

Provide a list of properties for which the applicant has obtained easements, options, and/or land use agreements necessary to construct and operate the facility and a list of the additional properties for which such agreements have not been obtained.

A list of properties required for the Project are provided in **Table 1** below.

Table 1 – Property Agreements

Property Parcel Number	Agreement Type	Easement or Option Obtained (Yes/No)
041200300000	Company Affiliate Owned	N/A
041200200000	Temporary Easement	No

B(9) Technical Features

Describe the following information regarding the technical features of the project:

B(9)(a) Operating characteristics, estimated number and types of structures required, and right-of-way and/or land requirements.

The transmission line is estimated to include the following:

Voltage:	138kV
Conductors:	WIRE, ACSR, BARE, 477 MCM COND, 1/C, 26/7 STR, OVH PRI, HAWK
Static Wire:	Not included on temporary structures
Insulators:	Polymer on temporary poles; Porcelain and Polymer on temporary switch structure

CONSTRUCTION NOTICE FOR THE WEST MOUNT VERNON-SOUTH KENTON 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE TEMPORARY RELOCATION PROJECT

ROW Width:	100 feet
Structure Type:	Two (2) single circuit, wood alternating horizontal post
	Four (4) single circuit, wood guyed dead ends
	Two (2) single circuit, wood guyed E-structure with horizontal post

B(9)(b) Electric and Magnetic Fields

For electric power transmission lines that are within one hundred feet of an occupied residence or institution, the production of electric and magnetic fields during the operation of the proposed electric power transmission line.

No occupied residences or institutions are located within 100 feet of the Project.

B(9)(c) Project Cost

The estimated capital cost of the project.

The cost estimate for the proposed Project, which is comprised of applicable tangible and capital costs, is approximately \$770,000 using a Class 5 estimate. Pursuant to the PJM OATT, the costs for this Project will be recovered in the AEP Ohio Transmission Company Inc.'s FERC formula rate (Attachment H-20 to the PJM OATT) and allocated to the AEP Zone.

B(10) Social and Ecological Impacts

The applicant shall describe the social and ecological impacts of the project:

B(10)(a) Land Use

Provide a brief, general description of land use within the vicinity of the proposed project, including a list of municipalities, townships, and counties affected.

The Project is located primarily on property owned by an affiliate of the Company and a large portion is occupied by South Kenton Station. The remaining portion of the Project, which is less than 0.1 acre, will extend onto the adjacent agricultural property although no ground disturbance is proposed in the agricultural field. An aerial photograph of the Project vicinity is provided as **Figure 2**. The Project is mapped within Buck Township in Hardin County. The Project vicinity is currently rural in nature and is comprised primarily of agricultural land used for row crops, and lesser amounts of old fields, forested land, landscaped areas, and scattered residences. The Project is not anticipated to require tree clearing.

B(10)(b) Agricultural Land

Provide the acreage and a general description of all agricultural land, and separately all agricultural district land, existing at least sixty days prior to submission of the application within the potential disturbance area of the project.

Approximately 0.1 acre of the Project temporary ROW will extend onto the agricultural property adjacent to the South Kenton Station parcel. However, no ground disturbance is proposed in the agricultural field. Adjacent agricultural land will not be impacted.

Based on data received from the Hardin County Auditor's office on April 14, 2025, the Project parcels are not registered as agricultural district land. In addition, no Ohio Department of Agriculture easements are crossed by the Project.

B(10)(c) Archaeological and Cultural Resources

Provide a description of the applicant's investigation concerning the presence or absence of significant archaeological or cultural resources that may be located within the potential disturbance area of the project, a statement of the findings of the investigation, and a copy of any document produced as a result of the investigation.

A cultural resource survey and report were conducted by the Company's consultant, which includes the Project area, in November 2024. Correspondence from the State Historic Preservation Office ("SHPO") was received in December 2024, see **Appendix B**. The SHPO stated that the Project will have no adverse effect on historic properties and that no further archaeological work is necessary.

B(10)(d) Local, State, and Federal Agency Correspondence

Provide a list of the local, state, and federal governmental agencies known to have requirements that must be met in connection with the construction of the project, and a list of documents that have been or are being filed with those agencies in connection with siting and constructing the project.

A summary of anticipated permits and authorizations for the Project is provided in the **Table 2**, below. There are no other known local, state, or federal requirements that must be met prior to commencement of the Project.

Permit/Authorization/Coordination	Agency	Date	
Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan	Ohio Environmental Protection Agency	Not Applicable	
	Hardin County		
Notice Criteria	Federal Aviation Administration	Submitted through Criteria Tool on 4/30/2025, no further action required	

Table 2 – Anticipated Permits

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.

West Mount Vernon-South Kenton 138 kV Transmission Line Temporary Relocation Project 25-0447-EL-BNR

CONSTRUCTION NOTICE FOR THE WEST MOUNT VERNON-SOUTH KENTON 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE TEMPORARY RELOCATION PROJECT

Permit/Authorization/Coordination	Agency	Date
Road Use Maintenance Agreement	Hardin County	Not Applicable
Clean Water Act Section 404/401	United States Army Corps of Engineers Ohio Environmental Protection Agency	Not Applicable
Archaeology/Architectural	Ohio Historic Preservation Office	Coordination complete 12/6/2024, no additional work required
Threatened and Endangered Species	United States Fish and Wildlife Service	Consultation complete 6/12/2023
Threatened and Endangered Species	Ohio Department of Natural Resources	Consultation complete 5/19/2023
Floodplain	Hardin County	Not Applicable

B(10)(e) Threatened, Endangered, and Rare Species

Provide a description of the applicant's investigation concerning the presence or absence of federal and state designated species (including endangered species, threatened species, rare species, species proposed for listing, species under review for listing, and species of special interest) that may be located within the potential disturbance area of the project, a statement of the findings of the investigation, and a copy of any document produced as a result of the investigation.

On April 19, 2023, coordination letters were submitted to the United State Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) Ohio Natural Heritage Program (ONHP) and Division of Wildlife (DOW), seeking an environmental review of the Project for potential impacts to state and/or federally protected species. ODNR and USFWS provided responses on March 19, 2023 and June 12, 2023, respectively. Copies of the agencies' responses are presented in **Appendix B**.

Table 4, in Appendix C lists the federal and state threatened or endangered species in the Project area.

Based on the nature of the proposed Project activities and habitat characteristics of the surrounding vicinity, construction impacts to protected species are not anticipated.

B(10)(f) Areas of Ecological Concern

Provide a description of the applicant's investigation concerning the presence or absence of areas of ecological concern (including national and state forests and parks, floodplains, wetlands, designated or proposed wilderness areas, national and state wild and scenic rivers, wildlife areas, wildlife refuges, wildlife management areas, and wildlife sanctuaries) that may be located within the potential disturbance area of the project, a statement of the findings of the investigation, and a copy of any document produced as a result of the investigation.

The Company's consultant conducted a wetland and stream delineation survey, which includes the Project corridor, on April 19, 2023 and prepared an Ecological Survey Report, which is provided in **Appendix C**. The survey of the Project area identified one intermittent stream was delineated along the northeastern portion of the South Kenton Station property but is not crossed by the Project. No wetlands or other water bodies were identified. The Project construction activities are not expected to result in discharge of fill in any delineated feature.

Based on a review of the Protected Areas Database of the United States as well as the Conservation Easement Database, there are no state or national parks, forests, wildlife areas or mapped conservation easements in the vicinity of the Project.

The FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map ("FIRM") was reviewed to identify any floodplains/flood hazard areas that have been mapped within the Project Area (specifically, map number 39159C0025D). Based on this mapping, no FEMA-designated 100-year floodplains are crossed by the proposed alignment.

B(10)(g) Unusual Conditions

Provide any known additional information that will describe any unusual conditions resulting in significant environmental, social, health, or safety impacts.

To the best of the Company's knowledge, no unusual conditions exist that would result in significant environmental, social, health, or safety impacts.

Appendix A Project Maps





Appendix B Agency Correspondence



In reply, refer to 2024-HAR-62952

December 6, 2024

Ryan J. Weller Weller & Associates, Inc. 1395 West Fifth Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43212 rweller@wellercrm.com

RE: South Kenton Station Upgrades Project, Buck Township, Hardin County, Ohio

Dear Mr. Weller:

This letter is in response to the correspondence received on November 8, 2024, regarding the proposed South Kenton Station Upgrades Project in Buck Township, Hardin County, Ohio. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this project. The comments of the Ohio State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) are made pursuant to Section 149.53 of the Ohio Revised Code and the Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) rules for siting this project (OAC 4906-4 & 4906-5). The comments of the Ohio SHPO are also submitted in accordance with the provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (54 U.S.C. 306108 [36 CFR 800]).

The following comments pertain to the *Phase I Cultural Resource Management Investigations for the 2.7 ha (6.6 ac) South Kenton Station Upgrades Project in Buck Township, Hardin County, Ohio* by Ryan J. Weller and Scott McIntosh (Weller & Associates, Inc. 2024). This submission addresses proposed upgrades to the existing South Kenton Station facility in Hardin County, Ohio. A literature review, visual inspection, surface collection and subsurface investigations (shovel probe and shovel test unit excavation) were conducted as part of these investigations. Disturbance related to the construction of the existing station facility was noted in portions of the project area. There were no previously documented archaeological sites located within the project area and no new archaeological sites were identified through these investigations. Our office agrees that no additional archaeological survey is needed.

A literature review and field survey for architectural resources were conducted as part of the investigations. One (1) resource fifty years of age or older was identified in the Area of Potential Effects (APE). It is Weller's recommendation that this resource is not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Our office agrees with Weller's recommendation of eligibility. Therefore, we agree that there will be no effect on historic resources as a result of the project.

Based on the information provided, we agree that the project, as proposed, will have no effect on historic properties. No further coordination with this office is necessary, unless the project changes or unless new or additional cultural resources are discovered during the implementation of this project. In such a situation, this office should be contacted. If you have any questions, please contact me by e-mail at cgullett@ohiohistory.org, or Ms. Joy Williams at jwilliams@ohiohistory.org. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

CMA

Catherine Gullett, Project Reviews Coordinator - Archaeology Resource Protection and Review State Historic Preservation Office

RPR Serial No. 1105693





MIKE DEWINE, GOVERNOR

MARY MERTZ, DIRECTOR

Office of Real Estate John Kessler, Chief 2045 Morse Road – Bldg. E-2 Columbus, OH 43229 Phone: (614) 265-6621 Fax: (614) 267-4764

May 19, 2023

Daniel Godec Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 10200 Alliance Road, Suite 300 Cincinnati OH 45242

Re: 23-0437; South Kenton-North Waldo 138 kV Line Rebuild

Project: The proposed project involves rebuilding a 138 kV Line from Kenton to North Waldo.

Location: The proposed project is located in Buck & Dudley Townships of Hardin County, and Bowling Green, Green Camp, Pleasant, & Richland Townships of Marion County, Ohio.

The Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) has completed a review of the above referenced project. These comments were generated by an inter-disciplinary review within the Department. These comments have been prepared under the authority of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), the National Environmental Policy Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, Ohio Revised Code and other applicable laws and regulations. These comments are also based on ODNR's experience as the state natural resource management agency and do not supersede or replace the regulatory authority of any local, state or federal agency nor relieve the applicant of the obligation to comply with any local, state or federal laws or regulations.

Natural Heritage Database: The Natural Heritage Database has the following data within one mile of the project area:

Least Darter (*Etheostoma microperca*), SC Elktoe (*Alasmidonta marginata*), SC Creek Heelsplitter (*Lasmigona compressa*), SC Rainbow (*Villosa iris*), SC

The review was performed on the specified project area as well as an additional one-mile radius. Records searched date from 1980. Conservation status abbreviations are as follows: E = state endangered; T = state threatened; P = state potentially threatened; SC = state species of concern; SI = state special interest; U = state status under review; X = presumed extirpated in Ohio; FE = federally endangered, and FT = federally threatened.

Please note that Ohio has not been completely surveyed and we rely on receiving information from many sources. Therefore, a lack of records for an area is not a statement that rare species or unique features are absent from that area.

Fish and Wildlife: The Division of Wildlife (DOW) has the following comments.

The DOW recommends that impacts to streams, wetlands and other water resources be avoided and minimized to the fullest extent possible, and that Best Management Practices be utilized to minimize erosion and sedimentation.

The portion of the project west of Township Road 199 in Hardin County is within the vicinity of records for the Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), a state endangered and federally endangered species. Because presence of state endangered bat species has been established in this area, summer tree cutting is not recommended, and additional summer surveys would not constitute presence/absence in the area. However, limited summer tree cutting inside this buffer may be acceptable after further consultation with DOW (contact Eileen Wyza at Eileen.Wyza@dnr.ohio.gov).

In addition, the entire state of Ohio is within the range of the Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), a state endangered and federally endangered species, the northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis), a state endangered and federally endangered species, the little brown bat (Myotis *lucifugus*), a state endangered species, and the tricolored bat (*Perimvotis subflavus*), a state endangered species. During the spring and summer (April 1 through September 30), these bat species predominately roost in trees behind loose, exfoliating bark, in crevices and cavities, or in the leaves. The DOW recommends tree cutting only occur from October 1 through March 31, conserving trees with loose, shaggy bark and/or crevices, holes, or cavities, as well as trees with $DBH \ge 20$ if possible. However, if trees are present within this area, (outside of the area delineated above) and trees must be cut during the summer months, the DOW recommends a mist net survey or acoustic survey be conducted from June 1 through August 15, prior to any cutting. Mist net and acoustic surveys should be conducted in accordance with the most recent version of the "OHIO DIVISION OF WILDLIFE GUIDANCE FOR BAT SURVEYS AND TREE *CLEARING*". If state listed bats are documented, DOW recommends cutting only occur from October 1 through March 31. However, limited summer tree cutting may be acceptable after consultation with the DOW.

The DOW also recommends that a desktop habitat assessment is conducted, followed by a field assessment if needed, to determine if a potential hibernaculum is present within the project area. Direction on how to conduct habitat assessments can be found in the current USFWS "<u>RANGE-</u><u>WIDE INDIANA BAT & NORTHERN LONG-EARED BAT SURVEY GUIDELINES</u>.</u>" If a habitat assessment finds that a potential hibernaculum is present within 0.25 miles of the project area, please send this information to Eileen Wyza, for project recommendations. If a potential or known hibernaculum is found, the DOW recommends a 0.25-mile tree cutting and subsurface disturbance buffer around the hibernaculum entrance, however, limited summer or winter tree cutting may be acceptable after consultation with the DOW. If no tree cutting or subsurface impacts to a hibernaculum are proposed, this project is not likely to impact these species.

The project is within the range of the clubshell (*Pleurobema clava*), a state endangered and federally endangered mussel, the rayed bean (*Villosa fabalis*), a state endangered and federally endangered mussel, the purple lilliput (*Toxolasma lividus*), a state endangered mussel, and the pondhorn (*Uniomerus tetralasmus*), a state threatened mussel. This project must not have an impact on native mussels. This applies to both listed and non-listed species, as all species of mussel are protected in Ohio. Per the Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol (2022), all Group 2, 3, and 4 streams (Appendix A) require a mussel survey. Per the Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol, Group 1 streams (Appendix A) and unlisted streams with a watershed of 5 square miles or larger above the point of impact should be assessed using the Reconnaissance Survey for Unionid Mussels

(Appendix B) to determine if mussels are present. Mussel surveys may be recommended for these streams as well. Therefore, if in-water work is planned in any stream that meets any of the above criteria, the DOW recommends the applicant provide information to indicate no mussel impacts will occur. If this is not possible, the DOW recommends a professional malacologist conduct a mussel survey in the project area. If mussels that cannot be avoided are found in the project area, the DOW recommends a professional malacologist collect and relocate the mussels to suitable and similar habitat upstream of the project site. Mussel surveys and any subsequent mussel relocation should be done in accordance with the <u>Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol</u>. If there is no in-water work proposed, impacts to mussels are not likely.

The DOW recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species.

The project is within the range of the eastern massasauga (*Sistrurus catenatus*), a state endangered and federally threatened snake species. The eastern massasauga uses a range of habitats including wet prairies, fens, and other wetlands, as well as drier upland habitat. The DOW recommends that an approved herpetologist conducts a habitat suitability survey to determine if suitable habitat is present within the project area. If suitable habitat is determined to be present; the DOW recommends that a presence/absence survey be conducted, or an avoidance/minimization plan be developed and implemented by the approved herpetologist. A list of <u>approved herpetologists</u> has been provided for your convenience.

The project is within the range of the northern harrier (*Circus hudsonius*), a state endangered bird. This is a common migrant and winter species. Nesters are much rarer, although they occasionally breed in large marshes and grasslands. Harriers often nest in loose colonies. The female builds a nest out of sticks on the ground, often on top of a mound. Harriers hunt over grasslands. If this type of habitat will be impacted, construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of April 15 through July 31. If this habitat will not be impacted, the project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the trumpeter swan (*Cygnus buccinator*), a state threatened bird. Trumpeter swans prefer large marshes and lakes ranging in size from 40 to 150 acres. They like shallow wetlands one to three feet deep with a diverse mix of plenty of emergent and submergent vegetation and open water. If this type of habitat will be impacted, construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of April 15 through June 15. If this habitat will not be impacted, this project is not likely to have an impact on this species.

The project is within the range of the upland sandpiper (*Bartramia longicauda*), a state endangered bird. Nesting upland sandpipers utilize dry grasslands including native grasslands, seeded grasslands, grazed and ungrazed pasture, hayfields, and grasslands established through the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). If this type of habitat will be impacted, construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of April 15 through July 31. If this type of habitat will not be impacted, the project is not likely to impact this species.

Due to the potential of impacts to federally listed species, as well as to state listed species, we recommend that this project be coordinated with the US Fish & Wildlife Service.

Water Resources: The Division of Water Resources has the following comment.

The <u>local floodplain administrator</u> should be contacted concerning the possible need for any floodplain permits or approvals for this project.

ODNR appreciates the opportunity to provide these comments. Please contact Mike Pettegrew at <u>mike.pettegrew@dnr.ohio.gov</u> if you have questions about these comments or need additional information.

Mike Pettegrew Environmental Services Administrator



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Ecological Services 4625 Morse Road, Suite 104 Columbus, Ohio 43230 (614) 416-8993 / FAX (614) 416-8994



June 12, 2023

Project Code: 2023-0068762

Dear Mr. Godec:

The U.S Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has received your recent correspondence requesting information about the subject proposal. We offer the following comments and recommendations to assist you in minimizing and avoiding adverse impacts to threatened, endangered, and proposed species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq), as amended (ESA).

Federally Threatened and Endangered Species: The endangered Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis) and northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis) occur throughout the State of Ohio. The Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat may be found wherever suitable habitat occurs unless a presence/absence survey has been performed to document absence. Suitable summer habitat for Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats consists of a wide variety of forested/wooded habitats where they roost, forage, and breed that may also include adjacent and interspersed non-forested habitats such as emergent wetlands and adjacent edges of agricultural fields, woodlots, fallow fields, and pastures. Roost trees for both species include live and standing dead trees ≥ 3 inches diameter at breast height (dbh) that have any exfoliating bark, cracks, crevices, hollows and/or cavities. These roost trees may be located in forested habitats as well as linear features such as fencerows, riparian forests, and other wooded corridors. Individual trees may be considered suitable habitat when they exhibit the characteristics of a potential roost tree and are located within 1,000 feet of other forested/wooded habitat. Northern long-eared bats have also been observed roosting in human-made structures, such as buildings, barns, bridges, and bat houses; therefore, these structures should also be considered potential summer habitat. In the winter, Indiana bats and northern longeared bats hibernate in caves, rock crevices and abandoned mines.

<u>Federally Proposed Species</u>: On September 14, 2022, the Service proposed to list the tricolored bat (*Perimyotis subflavus*) as endangered under the ESA. The bat faces extinction due to the impacts of white-nose syndrome, a deadly disease affecting cave-dwelling bats across the continent. During spring, summer, and fall, this species roosts primarily among leaf clusters of live or recently dead trees, emerging at dusk to hunt for insects over waterways and forest edges. While white-nose syndrome is by far the most serious threat to the tricolored bat, other threats now have an increased significance due to the dramatic decline in the species' population. These threats include disturbance to bats in roosting, foraging, commuting, and over-wintering habitats. Mortality due to collision with wind turbines, especially during migration, has also been documented across their range. Conservation measures for the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat will also help to conserve the tricolored bat.

Seasonal Tree Clearing for Federally Listed Bat Species: Should the proposed project site contain trees ≥ 3 inches dbh, we recommend avoiding tree removal wherever possible. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination with this office is requested to determine if fall or spring portal surveys are warranted. If no caves or abandoned mines are present and trees ≥ 3 inches dbh cannot be avoided, we recommend removal of any trees ≥ 3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31. Seasonal clearing is recommended to avoid adverse effects to Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats.

If implementation of this seasonal tree cutting recommendation is not possible, a summer presence/absence survey may be conducted for Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats. If Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats are not detected during the survey, then tree clearing may occur at any time of the year. Surveys must be conducted by an approved surveyor and be designed and conducted in coordination with the Ohio Field Office. Surveyors must have a valid federal permit. Please note that in Ohio summer mist net surveys may only be conducted between June 1 and August 15.

<u>Section 7 Coordination</u>: If there is a federal nexus for the project (e.g., federal funding provided, federal permits required to construct), then no tree clearing should occur on any portion of the project area until consultation under section 7 of the ESA, between the Service and the federal action agency, is completed. We recommend the federal action agency submit a determination of effects to this office, relative to the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat, for our review and concurrence. This letter provides technical assistance only and does not serve as a completed section 7 consultation document.

<u>Stream and Wetland Avoidance</u>: Over 90% of the wetlands in Ohio have been drained, filled, or modified by human activities, thus is it important to conserve the functions and values of the remaining wetlands in Ohio (<u>https://epa.ohio.gov/portals/47/facts/ohio_wetlands.pdf</u>). We recommend avoiding and minimizing project impacts to all wetland habitats (e.g., forests, streams, vernal pools) to the maximum extent possible in order to benefit water quality and fish and wildlife habitat. Additionally, natural buffers around streams and wetlands should be preserved to enhance beneficial functions. If streams or wetlands will be impacted, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers should be contacted to determine whether a Clean Water Act section 404 permit is required. Best management practices should be used to minimize erosion, especially on slopes. Disturbed areas should be mulched and revegetated with native plant species. In addition, prevention of non-native, invasive plant establishment is critical in maintaining high quality habitats.

Due to the project type, size, and location, we do not anticipate adverse effects to any other federally endangered, threatened, or proposed species, or proposed or designated critical habitat. Should the project design change, or additional information on listed or proposed species or their critical habitat become available, or if new information reveals effects of the action that were not previously considered, coordination with the Service should be initiated to assess any potential impacts.

Thank you for your efforts to conserve listed species and sensitive habitats in Ohio. We recommend coordinating with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources due to the potential for the proposed project to affect state listed species and/or state lands. Contact Mike Pettegrew, Environmental Services Administrator, at (614) 265-6387 or at <u>mike.pettegrew@dnr.ohio.gov</u>.

If you have questions, or if we can be of further assistance in this matter, please contact our office at (614) 416-8993 or <u>ohio@fws.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

al

Patrice Ashfield Field Office Supervisor

cc: Nathan Reardon, ODNR-DOW Eileen Wyza, ODNR-DOW Appendix C Ecological Survey Report



South Kenton Station Expansion Project

Ecological Survey Report

Prepared for:

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. 8600 Smiths Mill Road New Albany, OH 43054

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 10200 Alliance Road, Suite 300 Blue Ash, OH 45242

November 14, 2024

Sign-off Sheet

This document entitled Ecological Survey Report, South Kenton Station Expansion Project was prepared by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. ("Stantec") for the account of AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. Any reliance on this document by any third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and the Client. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

Prepared by	Kata Bomon	

(signature)

Kate Bomar

Reviewed by _

(signature)

Aaron Kwolek

Jamiel J. Godec Reviewed by

(signature)

Dan Godec

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUC	ΤΙΟΝ	1
2.0	METHODS		2
2.1	WETLAND [DELINEATION	2
2.2	STREAM DE	ELINEATION	2
2.3	RARE SPEC	IES	2
3.0	RESULTS		3
3.1	TERRESTRIA	L HABITAT	3
3.2	WETLANDS		4
3.3	STREAMS		6
3.4	OPEN WAT	ERS	7
3.5	RARE, THRE	EATENED, OR ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT	8
4.0	CONCLUSI	ONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	15
5.0	REFERENCE	S	18
LIST OF	TABLES		
Table i	I. Vegetatic	on Communities and Land Cover Types Found within the South Kenton	
	Station E	xpansion Project Area, Hardin County, Ohio	3
Table 2	2. Summary	of NWI Disposition within the South Kenton Station Expansion Project	
Talala	Area, Ha	rdin County, Ohio	5
I able 3	3. SUMMARY	of Stream Resources Found within the South Kenton Station Expansion	4
Table 4	4 Summarv	of Potential Federally Listed and Ohio State-Listed Species within the	0
	South Ke	nton Station Expansion Project Area, Hardin County, Ohio	8
LIST OF		ES	
APPEN	DIX A	FIGURES	A .1
Figure	1 – Project I	_ocation Map	A.1
Figure	2 – Wetlanc	and Waterbody Delineation Map	A.2
Figure	3 – Habitat 4 – Bat Hibe	Assessment Map ernacula Desktop Study Map	A.3 A.4
APPEN	DIX B	AGENCY CORRESPONDENCE	B.1
APPEN	DIX C	REPRESENTATIVE PHOTOGRAPHS	C.1
Wetlar	nd and Wat	erbody Photographs	C.1
Habita	it Photograp	phs	C.2
APPEN	DIX D	DATA FORMS	D.1
Wetlar	nd Determin	nation Data Forms	D.1
ITEL (I	ווע עדבו שמ		U.Z

Introduction November 14, 2024

1.0 INTRODUCTION

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. (AEP) is proposing construction activities associated with the South Kenton Station Expansion Project (the Project). AEP plans to conduct construction activities to rebuild/expand the existing South Kenton 138 kV substation (South Kenton Station) (Figure 1, Appendix A). The Project area was surveyed for wetlands, waterbodies, open water features, and potential threatened, endangered, and rare species habitat by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) biologists on April 19, 2023. The approximate locations of features located up to 50 feet outside of the Project area were also recorded during the field surveys, where landowner access was permitted. However, no data forms were collected on features that did not extend into the Project area. The approximate locations of these features are shown on the Figure 2 maps in Appendix A as "approximate" wetlands, streams (waterways), open waters, and upland drainage features.

Methods November 14, 2024

2.0 METHODS

2.1 WETLAND DELINEATION

Prior to completing the field surveys, a desktop review of the Project area was conducted using U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) topographic mapping, National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) maps, U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey data, and aerial imagery mapping. Stantec completed a wetland delineation study in accordance with the Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual (USACE 1987), the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region (Version 2.0) (USACE 2010). Wetland categories were classified using the Ohio Rapid Assessment Method (ORAM) for Wetlands Version 5.0 (Mack 2001).

2.2 STREAM DELINEATION

Streams that demonstrated a continuously defined channel (bed and bank), ordinary high-water mark (OHWM), and the disturbance of terrestrial vegetation were delineated within the Project area, per the protocols outlined in the USACE's Guidance on Ordinary High Water Mark Identification (Regulatory Guidance Letter, No. 05-05) (USACE 2005). Delineated streams were classified as ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial per definitions in the Federal Register/Vol. 67, No. 10 (USACE 2002). Functional assessment of streams identified within the Project area was based on completion of the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency's (OEPA) Headwater Habitat Evaluation Index (HHEI; OEPA 2020) and/or Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI; OEPA 2020) and/or Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (GHEI; OEPA 2020) and/or Gualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (GHEI; OEPA 2006) data forms. The centerline of each waterway and/or the OHWM of each waterway was identified and surveyed using a handheld sub-meter accuracy global positioning system (GPS) unit and mapped with geographic information system (GIS) software. Additionally, the locations of ponds/open water features and upland drainage features (which lacked a continuously defined bed and bank/OHWM) identified within the Project area were also recorded with a sub-meter accuracy GPS unit during the field surveys.

2.3 RARE SPECIES

Prior to conducting the field surveys, Stantec contacted the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for information regarding rare, threatened, or endangered species and their habitats of concern within the vicinity of the Project area (Appendix B – Agency Correspondence). To assess potential impacts to rare, threatened, or endangered species, Stantec scientists conducted a pedestrian reconnaissance of the proposed Project area, collected information on existing habitats within the Project area, and assessed the potential for these habitats to be used by federally listed or state-listed species that have the potential to occur within Hardin County.

Results November 14, 2024

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 TERRESTRIAL HABITAT

Stantec completed field surveys for threatened and endangered species or their habitats on April 19, 2023. Figure 3 (Appendix A) shows the vegetation communities/habitats and land cover types identified within the Project area and the locations of any identified rare, threatened, or endangered species habitat observed within the Project area during the time of the habitat assessment surveys. Representative photographs of the vegetation communities/habitats and land cover types identified within the Project area are included in Appendix C of this report (photo locations are shown on Figure 3, Appendix A). Information regarding the vegetation communities/habitats/land cover types identified within the Project area is provided in Table 1.

Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types within the Project Area	Degree of Human-Related Ecological Disturbance	Unique, Rare, or High Quality?	Approximate Acreage Within Project Area
Agricultural Land	Extreme Disturbance/Ruderal Community dominated by planted row crop species such as corn (Zea mays), and soybean (Glycine max).	No	1.61
Maintained Lawn	Extreme Disturbance/Ruderal Community (dominated by planted non-native species, opportunistic invaders, and/or native highly tolerant taxa). Common plant species included common dandelion (Taraxacum officinale), white clover (Trifolium repens), narrowleaf plantain (Plantago lanceolata), tall fescue (Schedonorus arundinaceus), and perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne).	No	3.23
Existing Road	Extreme Disturbance/existing paved road or other paved area (little to no vegetation is present in these habitats).	No	0.22
Industrial Land	Extreme Disturbance/Ruderal Community (little to no vegetation is present in these habitats).	No	1.55
		TOTAL	6.60

Table 1	. Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types Found within the South Kenton Statio	n
	Expansion Project Area, Hardin County, Ohio	

Results November 14, 2024

3.2 WETLANDS

Stantec completed field surveys for wetlands within the Project area and evaluated three wetland determination sample points on April 19, 2023. As a result of the field surveys, Stantec did not identify any wetlands within the Project area. Figure 2 (Appendix A) shows the locations of the wetland determination sample points evaluated by Stantec within the Project area. Representative photographs of the wetland determination sample point locations are included in Appendix C of this report (photo locations are shown on Figure 2, Appendix A). Completed wetland determination data forms are included in Appendix D. A summary of the disposition of NWI-mapped wetlands within the Project area is provided in Table 2.

Results November 14, 2024

NWI Code	NWI Description	Figure 2 Page Number	Related Field Inventoried Resource(s)	Comments
R4SBC	Riverine, intermittent, streambed, seasonally flooded	1	Stream 1	Stream 1 was delineated within the mapped NWI feature. The completed HHEI and QHEI data forms for this stream are provided in Appendix D. Representative photographs are available in Appendix C.

Table 2. Summary of NWI Disposition within the South Kenton Station Expansion Project Area, Hardin County, Ohio

Results November 14, 2024

3.3 STREAMS

Stantec completed field surveys for streams (waterways) within the Project area on April 19, 2023. One unnamed intermittent (Stream 1) was identified within the Project area. Figure 2 (Appendix A) shows the location of the stream identified by Stantec within the Project area and representative photographs of the stream are included in Appendix C of this report (photo locations are shown on Figure 2, Appendix A). Completed HHEI and QHEI data forms for Stream 1 are included in Appendix D. Information regarding the identified stream is provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of Stream Resources Found within the South Kenton Station Expansion Project Area, Hardin County, Ohio

	Location							F	ield Evaluation				Proposed Impacts	
Stream ID	Latitude	Longitude	Stream Type	Stream Name ¹	Delineated Length (feet)	Bankfull Width (feet)	OHWM Width (feet)	Method	Score ^{2,3}	Category/ Rating/ OAC Use Designation 2.3,4	Ohio EPA 401 Eligibility	Stream Crossing?	Fill Type	Area (acre)
Stream 1	40.623549	-83.579418	Intermittent	UNT to Scioto River	250	5	2	HHEI/ QHEI	71/44.5	Class III PHW/Fair	Eligible	TBD⁵	TBD⁵	TBD⁵
TOTAL				250								TOTAL	TBD⁵	
¹ UNT = Unnamed Tributary ² Based on the designated use evaluation presented in the Field Methods for Evaluating Primary Headwater Habitat Streams in Ohio, Version 4.0 (OEPA 2020).														

Based on the designated use evaluation presented in the Methods for Assessing Habitat in Flowing Waters: Using the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index) (CEPA 2006).

⁴Based on Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 3745-1-16.

⁵TBD – To be determined. Impact information and stream crossing information is unknown at this time.

Results November 14, 2024

3.4 OPEN WATERS

No open water features (ponds) were identified within the Project area during the field surveys that took place on April 19, 2023.

Results November 14, 2024

3.5 RARE, THREATENED, OR ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT

Table 4. Summary of Potential Federally Listed and Ohio State-Listed Species within the South Kenton Station Expansion Project Area, Hardin County, Ohio

Common Name/ Scientific Name	mmon Name/ ientific Name State Federally Listed Listed Typical Habitat Status ^{1,2} Status ^{1,3}				Agency Comments (Appendix B)	
				Reptiles	l	
Eastern Massasauga/Sistrurus catenatus	E	Т	Habitats range from sphagnum bogs, fens, swamps, marshes, shrub-dominated peatlands, wet meadows, and floodplains to dry woodlands; this snake prefers seasonal wetlands with a mixture of open grass-sedge areas and short closed canopy (edge situations) (NatureServe 2023).	No potentially suitable habitat (large areas of wet meadows, bogs, fens, and marshes) was observed within the Project area.	 ODNR – The Project is within the range of the eastern massasauga. The ODNR recommends that an approved herpetologist conducts a habitat suitability survey to determine if suitable habitat is present within the Project area. If suitable habitat is determined to be present, the ODNR recommends that a presence/absence survey be conducted or an avoidance/minimization plan be developed and implemented by the approved herpetologist. USFWS - Due to the project type, size, and location, we do not anticipate adverse effects to this species. 	No recol a ha re cc
				Fish	· · · · · ·	
Least Darter/Etheostoma microperca	SOC	N/A	Habitat includes quiet, vegetated lakes, headwaters, creeks, and small rivers, where the species usually occurs over mud and sand. The least darter inhabits weedy portions of lakes and of clear streams with sluggish flow (NatureServe 2023).	No potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was observed within the Project area.	ODNR – ODNR has records of the least darter within one mile of Project area. The ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species.	No p obse this s
				Atuasela	USFWS – No comments received.	
				MUSSEIS	ODNR ODNR has records of the all-tee	1
Elktoe/Alasmidonta marginata	SOC	N/A	Although it occurs in large to medium sized streams, it is more typical of smaller streams. Habitat often includes small streams with good current and sand or gravel bottoms at depths of several inches to two feet (NatureServe 2023).	No potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was observed within the Project area.	within one mile of Project area. The ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in- water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species.	No p obse this s

Potential Impacts and Avoidance Dates

o potentially suitable habitat (large areas of wet eadows, bogs, fens, and marshes) was observed within the Project area. However, the ODNR ommends that an approved herpetologist conducts habitat suitability survey to determine if suitable abitat is present within the Project area. If suitable habitat is determined to be present, the ODNR ecommends that a presence/absence survey be onducted or an avoidance/minimization plan be developed and implemented by the approved herpetologist.

potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was erved within the Project area. Therefore, impacts to species are not anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was erved within the Project area. Therefore, impacts to species are not anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

Results November 14, 2024

Common Name/ Scientific Name	State Listed Status ^{1,2}	Federally Listed Status ^{1,3}	Typical Habitat	Habitat Observed	Agency Comments (Appendix B)	
Rainbow/Villosa iris	SOC	N/A	This mussel inhabits small streams, living within and below riffles on a sand, gravel or mud bottom in water less than a meter deep (WDNR 2022).	No potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was observed within the Project area.	ODNR – ODNR has records of the rainbow within one mile of Project area. The ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in- water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species.	No obse this
Pondhorn/Uniomerus tetralasmus	T	N/A	This species occurs in fine gravel in moderate current. It may be encountered in shallow, quiet, or slow-moving water at depths seldom exceeding two feet. This species typically inhabits the quiet or slow-moving, shallow waters of sloughs, borrow pits, ponds, ditches, and meandering streams. It is typically found buried in a substrate of fine sand and mud in shallow sloughs and ditches, and it is a species tolerant of adverse habitat conditions, surviving for periods of weeks or even months buried in the bottoms or banks of dried-up ponds (Parmalee and Bogan 1998; NatureServe 2023).	No potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams and ditches; ponds) was observed within the Project area.	USFWS – No comments received. ODNR – The Project area is within the range of the pondhorn mussel. The ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in- water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species. USFWS – No comments received.	No ditc The
Purple Lilliput/Toxolasma lividus	E	N/A	This species can inhabit fine-particle substrates and sand, gravel, or cobbles and boulders in riffles or flats immediately above riffles. This species is reported from the headwaters of small to medium sized rivers (NatureServe 2023).	No potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was observed within the Project area.	ODNR - The Project area is within the range of the purple liliput mussel. The ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in- water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species.	No obse this
Rayed Bean/Villosa fabalis	E	E	Habitat includes gravel or sandy substrates, especially in areas of thick roots of aquatic plants and increased substrate stability (NatureServe 2023; Parmalee and Bogan 1998). Rayed bean can be associated with shoal or riffle areas, and in shallow, wave-washed areas of glacial lakes. It is generally found in smaller, headwater creeks, but sometimes in larger rivers and open-water bodies. It can occur in shallow riffles or in lakes with water depths up to four feet. It has been found in riffles, generally in vegetation, and deeply buried in sand and gravel bound together by roots (Parmalee and Bogan 1998).	No potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was observed within the Project area.	ODNR – The Project area is within the range of the rayed bean mussel. The ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in- water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species. USFWS – Due to the project type, size, and location, we do not anticipate adverse effects to this species.	No obse this

Potential Impacts and Avoidance Dates

potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was erved within the Project area. Therefore, impacts to s species are not anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams and ches; ponds) was observed within the Project area. erefore, impacts to this species are not anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was served within the Project area. Therefore, impacts to s species are not anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was erved within the Project area. Therefore, impacts to s species are not anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

Results November 14, 2024

Common Name/ Scientific Name	State Listed Status ^{1,2}	Federally Listed Status ^{1,3}	Typical Habitat	Habitat Observed	Agency Comments (Appendix B)	
Clubshell/Pleurobema clava	E	E	The clubshell occurs in medium to small rivers and streams, containing clean, coarse sand and cobble substrates (USFWS 1994). The clubshell is usually found within the current, where it may live several inches underneath the surface. It is most common in the downstream ends of riffles and islands (Watters et al. 2009). The clubshell is mostly considered an Ohio River system species, including the Tennessee, Cumberland, Kanawha, and Wabash River drainages. However, it is also found within the Maumee River system of Lake Erie. Although historically the clubshell was originally described as occurring within Lake Erie, only one record of its occurrence there has been found (Watters et al. 2009).	No potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was observed within the Project area.	ODNR – The Project area is within the range of the clubshell mussel. The ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in- water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species. USFWS - Due to the project type, size, and location, we do not anticipate adverse effects to this species.	No j obse this
Creek Heelsplitter/Lasmigona compressa	SOC	N/A	This species occurs principally in rivers and streams of various sizes, even in very small creeks and is rare in lakes. It is found on substrates of gravel, sand, or mud (NatureServe 2023).	No potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was observed within the Project area.	 ODNR – ODNR has records of the creek heelsplitter within one mile of Project area. The ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species. USFWS – No comments received. 	No I obse this
				Mammals		
Indiana Bat/Myotis sodalis	E	E	The Indiana bat is likely distributed over the entire State of Ohio, though not uniformly. This species generally forages in openings and edge habitats within upland and floodplain forest, but they also forage over old fields and pastures (Brack et al. 2010). Natural roost structures include trees (live or dead) with exfoliating bark, and exposure to solar radiation. Other important factors for roost trees include relative location to other trees, a permanent water source and foraging areas; Dead trees are preferred as maternity roosts; however, live trees are often used as secondary roosts depending on microclimate conditions (USFWS 2007; USFWS 2023b). Roosts have also occasionally been found to consist of cracks and hollows in trees, utility poles, buildings, and bat boxes. Primarily use caves for hibernacula, although are also known to hibernate in abandoned underground mines (Brack et al. 2010).	No potentially suitable roosting habitat was observed within the Project area. No potential bat hibernacula were observed within the Project area.	ODNR – The entire state of Ohio is within the range of the Indiana bat. The ODNR recommends tree cutting only occurs from October 1 through March 31, conserving trees with loose, shaggy bark and/or crevices, holes, or cavities, as well as trees with diameter at breast height (dbh) ≥ 20 if possible. In addition, ODNR recommends a desktop habitat assessment is conducted, followed by a field assessment if needed, to determine if there are potential hibernacula present within the Project area. If a habitat assessment finds that potential hibernacula are present within 0.25 miles of the Project area, please send this information to the ODNR for project recommendations.Additionally, the portion of the South Kenton-North Waldo 138 kV Line Rebuild Project west of Township Road 199 in Hardin County is within the vicinity of	Nc ok inten If an hik st iden of ider (At obse

Potential Impacts and Avoidance Dates

potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was erved within the Project area. Therefore, impacts to s species are not anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

potentially suitable habitat (perennial streams) was erved within the Project area. Therefore, impacts to s species are not anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

o potentially suitable summer roosting habitat was observed within the Project area. Additionally, AEP ands to clear trees between October 1 and March 31. by summer tree clearing is required, AEP will proceed cordingly with agency recommendations to avoid inpacts to this species. Additionally, a desktop bat ibernacula habitat assessment was completed by tantec. No abandoned underground mines were ntified within 0.25 miles of the Project area as a result the assessment, but an area of karst geology was intified that overlaps the entirety of the Project area sppendix A, Figure 4). No mine openings, caves, or any other potentially suitable hibernacula were erved within the Project area during the field surveys completed by Stantec.

Avoidance Dates: April 1 – September 30

Results November 14, 2024

Common Name/ Scientific Name	State Listed Status ^{1,2}	Federally Listed Status ^{1,3}	Typical Habitat	Habitat Observed	Agency Comments (Appendix B)
					records for the Indiana bat. Because presence of state endangered bat species has been established in this area, summer tree cutting is not recommended, and additional summer surveys would not constitute presence/absence in the area. However, limited summer tree cutting inside this buffer may be acceptable after further consultation with the ODNR.
					USFWS – The Indiana bat occurs throughout the State of Ohio. Should the proposed project site contain trees ≥3 inches dbh, USFWS recommends avoiding tree removal wherever possible. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination with the USFWS is requested to determine if fall or spring portal surveys are warranted. If no caves or abandoned mines are present and trees ≥3 inches dbh cannot be avoided, the USFWS recommends removal of any trees ≥3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31.
Northern Long-eared Bat/Myotis septentrionalis	E	E	The northern long-eared bat is found throughout Ohio. This species generally forages in forested habitat and openings in forested habitat and utilizes cracks, cavities, and loose bark within live and dead trees, as well as buildings as roosting habitat (Brack et al. 2010; USFWS 2020). The species utilizes caves and abandoned mines as winter hibernacula. Various sized caves are used providing they have a constant temperature, high humidity, and little to no air current (Brack et al. 2010).	No potentially suitable roosting habitat was observed within the Project area. No potential bat hibernacula were observed within the Project area.	 ODNR – The entire state of Ohio is within the range of the northern long-eared bat. The ODNR recommends tree cutting only occurs from October 1 through March 31, conserving trees with loose, shaggy bark and/or crevices, holes, or cavities, as well as trees with dbh ≥ 20 if possible. In addition, The ODNR recommends a desktop habitat assessment is conducted, followed by a field assessment if needed, to determine if there are potential hibernacula present within the Project area. If a habitat assessment finds that potential hibernacula are present within 0.25 miles of the Project area, please send this information to the ODNR for project recommendations. USFWS - The northern long-eared bat occurs throughout the state of Ohio. Should the proposed project site contain trees ≥3 inches dbh, USFWS recommends avoiding tree removal wherever possible. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination with USFWS is requested to determine if fall or spring portal surveys are warranted. If no caves

Potential Impacts and Avoidance Dates

No potentially suitable summer roosting habitat was observed within the Project area. Additionally, AEP intends to clear trees between October 1 and March 31. If any summer tree clearing is required, AEP will proceed accordingly with agency recommendations to avoid impacts to this species. Additionally, a desktop bat hibernacula habitat assessment was completed by Stantec. No abandoned underground mines were identified within 0.25 miles of the Project area as a result of the assessment, but an area of karst geology was identified that overlaps the entirety of the Project area (Appendix A, Figure 4). No mine openings, caves, or any other potentially suitable hibernacula were observed within the Project area during the field surveys completed by Stantec.

Avoidance Dates: April 1 – September 30

Results November 14, 2024

Common Name/ Scientific Name	State Listed Status ^{1,2}	Federally Listed Status ^{1,3}	Typical Habitat	Habitat Observed	Agency Comments (Appendix B)	
					or abandoned mines are present and trees ≥3 inches dbh cannot be avoided, USFWS recommends removal of any trees ≥3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31.	
Little Brown Bat/Myotis lucifugus	E	N/A	The little brown bat is found throughout Ohio. This species seems to prefer to forage over water but also forages among trees in rather open areas (Harvey et al. 1999). During summer, it typically inhabits buildings, attics, church belfries, barns and outbuildings, and occasionally more natural habitats such as sloughing bark of a dead tree. During summer, two types of roosts are utilized: day roosts and night roosts. Day roosts are the maternity colony roost, while little brown bats often roost in other areas where they rest and congregate to digest their food in between foraging bouts. In Ohio, this species typically utilizes caves and mines as hibernacula, although at least one hibernaculum was found to be located in an attic of an old building (Brack et al. 2010).	No potentially suitable roosting habitat was observed within the Project area. No potential bat hibernacula were observed within the Project area.	ODNR – The entire state of Ohio is within the range of the little brown bat. The ODNR recommends tree cutting only occurs from October 1 through March 31, conserving trees with loose, shaggy bark and/or crevices, holes, or cavities, as well as trees with dbh ≥ 20 if possible. In addition, ODNR recommends a desktop habitat assessment is conducted, followed by a field assessment if needed, to determine if there are potential hibernacula present within the Project area. If a habitat assessment finds that potential hibernacula are present within 0.25 miles of the Project area, please send this information to Eileen Wyza for project recommendations.	No ob intend If any acc imp hib Sta ident ident (Ap c obse
Tri-colored Bat/Perimyotis subflavus	E	PE	The tricolored bat is found throughout Ohio. This species has been found to forage above and within a variety of habitats, including woodlands, agricultural fields, grassy areas, and over streamside vegetation (Sparks et al. 2011). Maternity colonies have often been found within clusters of dead leaves, hanging in trees. Maternity colonies have also been found in or on buildings. Little is known of male tri-colored bats in summer, but it is thought that they are probably solitary and spend their days in similar situations, as well as crevices, caves and mines (Brack et al. 2010). In Ohio, this species typically utilizes caves and mines as hibernacula, utilizing a variety of situations, including very cold areas near cave entrances to deeper passages that seem to be too warm for other species of bats (Brack et al. 2010).	No potentially suitable roosting habitat was observed within the Project area. No potential bat hibernacula were observed within the Project area.	 ODNR - The entire state of Ohio is within the range of the tri-colored bat. The ODNR recommends tree cutting only occurs from October 1 through March 31, conserving trees with loose, shaggy bark and/or crevices, holes, or cavities, as well as trees with dbh ≥ 20 if possible. In addition, ODNR recommends a desktop habitat assessment is conducted, followed by a field assessment if needed, to determine if there are potential hibernacula present within the Project area. If a habitat assessment finds that potential hibernacula are present within 0.25 miles of the Project area, please send this information to the ODNR for project recommendations. USFWS - This bat faces extinction due to the impacts of white-nose syndrome, a deadly disease affecting cave-dwelling bats across the continent. During spring, summer, and fall, this species roosts primarily among leaf clusters of live or recently dead trees, emerging at dusk to hunt for insects over waterways and forest edges. While white-nose syndrome is by 	No ob intend If any acc imp hit Sta ident of t iden (Ap c obse

Potential Impacts and Avoidance Dates

o potentially suitable summer roosting habitat was observed within the Project area. Additionally, AEP adds to clear trees between October 1 and March 31. y summer tree clearing is required, AEP will proceed cordingly with agency recommendations to avoid upacts to this species. Additionally, a desktop bat bernacula habitat assessment was completed by rantec. No abandoned underground mines were tified within 0.25 miles of the Project area as a result the assessment, but an area of karst geology was ntified that overlaps the entirety of the Project area opendix A, Figure 4). No mine openings, caves, or any other potentially suitable hibernacula were erved within the Project area during the field surveys completed by Stantec.

Avoidance Dates: April 1 – September 30

o potentially suitable summer roosting habitat was observed within the Project area. Additionally, AEP adds to clear trees between October 1 and March 31. y summer tree clearing is required, AEP will proceed cordingly with agency recommendations to avoid upacts to this species. Additionally, a desktop bat bernacula habitat assessment was completed by rantec. No abandoned underground mines were tified within 0.25 miles of the Project area as a result the assessment, but an area of karst geology was ntified that overlaps the entirety of the Project area opendix A, Figure 4). No mine openings, caves, or any other potentially suitable hibernacula were erved within the Project area during the field surveys completed by Stantec.

Avoidance Dates: April 1 – September 30
ECOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT, SOUTH KENTON STATION EXPANSION PROJECT

Results November 14, 2024

Common Name/ Scientific Name	State Listed Status ^{1,2}	Federally Listed Status ^{1,3}	Typical Habitat	Habitat Observed	Agency Comments (Appendix B)	
					far the most serious threat to the tricolored bat, other threats now have an increased significance due to the dramatic decline in the species' population. These threats include disturbance to bats in roosting, foraging, commuting, and over-wintering habitats. Mortality due to collision with wind turbines, especially during migration, has also been documented across their range. Conservation measures for the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat will also help to conserve the tri-colored bat.	
			1	Birds	ODNR The Dreight is within the range of	1
Northern Harrier/Circus hudsonius	E	N/A	Harriers hunt low over grasslands, with wings held in a distinctive dihedral (V-shape). This is a common migrant and winter species; nesters are much rarer, although they occasionally breed in large marshes and grasslands (ODNR 2018). Northern harriers appear to be associated with large tracts of undisturbed habitat. They are uncommon in blocks of contiguous grassland less than 100 hectares (Slater and Rock 2005).	No suitable nesting habitat (large marshes and grasslands) was observed within the Project area.	ODNR – The Project is within the range of the northern harrier. This is a common migrant and winter species in Ohio. Nesters are much rarer, although they occasionally nest in loose colonies in large marshes and grasslands. If this type of habitat will be impacted, construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of April 15 through July 31. If this habitat will not be impacted, the project is not likely to impact this species.	Noi grc s Pi
					USFWS – No comments received.	
Upland Sandpiper/Bartramia Iongicauda	E	N/A	Nesting upland sandpipers utilize dry grasslands including native grasslands, seeded grasslands, grazed and ungrazed pasture, hayfields, and grasslands established through the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) (ODNR 2018). Upland sandpipers are primarily restricted to extensive, open tracts of short grassland habitats. These habitats also include edges of highway rights- of-way and airfields. (NatureServe 2023).	No potentially suitable nesting habitat (large areas of pastures, hayfields, or other grassland habitats) was observed within the Project area.	ODNR - The Project is within the range of the upland sandpiper. Nesting upland sandpipers utilize dry grasslands including native grasslands, seeded grasslands, grazed and ungrazed pasture, hayfields, and grasslands established through the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). If this type of habitat will be impacted, construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of April 15 through July 31. If this type of habitat will not be impacted, the project is not likely to impact this species.	N gr sai
					USFWS - No comments received.	
Trumpeter Swan/Cygnus buccinator	T	N/A	The trumpeter swan is found in ponds, lakes, and marshes, breeding in areas of reeds, sedges or similar emergent vegetation, primarily on freshwater, occasionally in brackish situations, wintering on open ponds, lakes and sheltered bays and estuaries (NatureServe 2023).	No suitable habitat (large marshes and lakes) was observed within the Project area.	ODNR – The Project is within the range of the trumpeter swan. Trumpeter swans prefer large marshes and lakes ranging in size from 40 to 150 acres. They like shallow wetlands one to three feet deep with a diverse mix of plenty of emergent and submergent vegetation and open water. If this type of habitat will be impacted.	٢

Potential Impacts and Avoidance Dates

orthern harriers require large tracts of wetlands and/or asslands that are 100 hectares (247 acres) or more for suitable breeding/nesting habitat (Slater and Rock 2005). No suitable nesting habitat (large tracts of retlands and/or grasslands) were observed within the project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated, and avoidance dates are not applicable.

No potentially suitable nesting habitat was observed within the Project area. The tracts of rassland/hayfield/pasture habitats within the Project area are likely too small to attract nesting upland indpipers. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

No suitable habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated and avoidance dates are not applicable.

ECOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT, SOUTH KENTON STATION EXPANSION PROJECT

Results November 14, 2024

Common Name/ Scientific Name	State Listed Status ^{1,2}	Federally Listed Status ^{1,3}	Typical Habitat	Habitat Observed	Agency Comments (Appendix B)	
					construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of April 15 through June 15. If this habitat will not be impacted, this Project is not likely to have an impact on this species. USFWS - No comments received.	
¹ E=Endangered; T=Threatened;	PE=Propose	ed Endangere	ed; SOC=Species of Concern; N/A=Not Applicable			
² According to ODNR, State Liste	ed Wildlife a	nd Plant Spe	cies by County (ODNR 2023a).			
³ According to the USFWS Inform	ation for Pla	anning and C	Consultation website (USFWS 2023a).			

Potential Impacts and Avoidance Dates

Conclusions and Recommendations November 14, 2024

4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Stantec conducted a wetland and waterbodies delineation and a preliminary habitat assessment for threatened and endangered species within the Project area on April 19, 2023. No wetlands or open waters were identified within the Project area. One intermittent stream was identified within the Project area, an unnamed tributary to the Scioto River. See Table 3 for more information regarding the stream identified within the Project area.

The information provided by Stantec regarding wetland and stream boundaries is based on an analysis of the wetland and upland conditions present within the Project area at the time of the field work. The delineations were performed by experienced and qualified professionals using regulatory agency-accepted practices and sound professional judgment.

An ODNR Ohio Natural Heritage Program data request and environmental review request letter was sent to the ODNR Office of Real Estate on April 19, 2023. The ODNR Office of Real Estate response letter dated May 19, 2023 (Appendix B) states that the natural heritage database has records of the following species within one mile of the Project area: least darter, elktoe, rainbow, and creek heelsplitter. However, there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream and therefore, this project is not likely to impact these species. Each of these species are addressed in more detail in Table 4.

In addition, the ODNR stated that the entire state of Ohio is within the range of the Indiana bat, northern long-eared bat, little brown bat, and the tricolored bat. During the spring and summer (April 1 through September 30), these bat species predominantly roost in trees behind loose, exfoliating bark, in crevices and cavities, or in the leaves. The ODNR recommends tree cutting only occurs from October 1 through March 31, conserving trees with loose, shaggy bark and/or crevices, holes, or cavities, as well as trees with dbh ≥ 20 if possible.

The ODNR also recommended that a desktop habitat assessment be conducted, followed by a field assessment if needed, to determine if there are potential bat hibernacula present within 0.25 miles of the Project area. Stantec completed a desktop habitat desktop assessment in accordance with the 2023 Range-wide Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat Survey Guidelines (USFWS 2023b) utilizing available ODNR websites, including data on known abandoned or active mines (ODNR 2023b) and locations of known or suspected karst geology (ODNR 2023c). No abandoned or active underground mines were identified within the Project area or within 0.25 miles of it as part of the desktop assessment. The desktop assessment identified an area of karst geology that encompasses the entirety of the Project area (Figure 4, Appendix A). However, no underground openings, caves, or any other potentially suitable bat hibernacula were observed within the Project area during the field surveys completed by Stantec. Therefore, no impacts to potential bat hibernacula are anticipated.

Additionally, according to the ODNR, the portion of the South Kenton-North Waldo 138 kV Line Rebuild Project located south of County Road 130 in Hardin County is within the vicinity of known

ECOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT, SOUTH KENTON STATION EXPANSION PROJECT

Conclusions and Recommendations November 14, 2024

records of the Indiana bat. Because presence of state endangered bat species has been established in this area, summer tree cutting is not recommended, and additional summer surveys would not constitute presence/absence in the area. However, limited summer tree cutting inside this buffer may be acceptable after further consultation with the ODNR.

No potentially suitable summer roosting habitat or hibernacula for the Indiana bat, northern longeared bat, tri-colored bat, and little brown bat was identified within the Project area. Additionally, AEP intends to clear trees between October 1 and March 31, as applicable. If any summer tree clearing is required, AEP will proceed with agency recommendations to avoid impacts to these bat species.

The Project is within the range of the northern harrier, a state endangered bird. Northern harriers require large tracts of wetlands and/or grasslands that are 100 hectares (247 acres) or more for suitable breeding/nesting habitat (Slater and Rock 2005). No suitable nesting habitat (large tracts of wetlands and/or grasslands) were observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated, and avoidance dates are not applicable.

The Project is within the range of the state endangered and federally threatened eastern massasauga. ODNR recommends that an approved herpetologist conducts a habitat suitability survey to determine if suitable habitat is present within the Project area. If suitable habitat is determined to be present, the ODNR recommends that a presence/absence survey be conducted, or an avoidance/minimization plan be developed and implemented by the approved herpetologist. No suitable habitat for the eastern massasauga (large areas of wet meadows, bogs, fens, and marshes) was observed by Stantec within the Project area.

The Project is within the range of the trumpeter swan. No potentially suitable habitat (large marshes and lakes) was observed within the Project area. Therefore, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The Project is within the range of the state endangered and federally endangered clubshell and rayed bean mussels, state endangered purple lilliput mussel, and the state threatened pondhorn mussel. However, as stated, no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream. Therefore, this Project is not likely to impact these species.

A technical assistance request letter was submitted to the USFWS on April 19, 2023. The USFWS response letter dated June 12, 2023, recommends that impacts to wetland and other water resources be avoided or minimized to the fullest extent possible, and that best management practices be utilized o minimize erosion and sedimentation (Appendix B).

According to the USFWS response letter, all projects in the state of Ohio lie within the range of the federally endangered Indiana bat, the federally endangered northern long-eared bat, and the federally proposed endangered tri-colored bat. In Ohio, presence of these species is assumed wherever suitable habitat occurs unless a presence/probable absence survey has been performed to document probable absence. The USFWS response letter states that, should the Project site contain trees ≥3 inches dbh, the USFWS recommends trees be saved whenever

ECOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT, SOUTH KENTON STATION EXPANSION PROJECT

Conclusions and Recommendations November 14, 2024

possible. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination is requested. If no caves or abandoned mines are present and trees \geq 3 inches dbh cannot be avoided, the USFWS recommends that removal of trees \geq 3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31 in order to avoid adverse effects to these species. If implementation of seasonal tree clearing is not possible, the USFWS recommended that summer presence/probable absence surveys be conducted between June 1 and August 15. AEP intends to clear trees between October 1 and March 31, as applicable. If any summer tree clearing is required, AEP will proceed with agency recommendations to avoid impacts to these bat species.

The USFWS stated that due to the Project type, size, and location they do not anticipate adverse effects to any other federally endangered, threatened, or proposed species or proposed or designated critical habitat.

References November 14, 2024

5.0 **REFERENCES**

- Brack, Virgil Jr., Dale W. Sparks, John O. Whitaker Jr., Brianne L. Walters, and Angela Boyer. 2010. Bats of Ohio. Indiana State University Center for North American Bat Research and Conservation.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter V., F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Report No. FWS/OBS/-79/31.Washington, D.C.
- Harvey, Michael J., J. Scott Altenbach, and Troy L. Best. 1999. Bats of the United States. Arkansas Game & Fish Commission, Little Rock, Arkansas. 64 pp.
- Mack, J.J. 2001. Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands, Manual for Using Version 5.0. Ohio EPA Technical Bulletin Wetland/2001-1-1. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Surface Water, 401 Wetland Ecology Unit, Columbus, Ohio.
- NatureServe. 2023. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.0. NatureServe, Arlington, VA. U.S.A. Available http://explorer.natureserve.org. Accessed June 2023.
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) Division of Wildlife. 2018. Species Guide Index. Available at http://wildlife.ohiodnr.gov/species-and-habitats/species-guide-index/. Accessed January 2020.
- ODNR Division of Wildlife. 2023a. State Listed Wildlife and Plant Species by County. Available at https://ohiodnr.gov/discover-and-learn/safety-conservation/aboutodnr/wildlife/documents-publications/wildlife-plants-county. Accessed June 2023.
- ODNR Division of Mineral Resources and Division of Geological Survey. 2023b. Mines of Ohio. Available online at ODNR Mines of Ohio Viewer (ohiodnr.gov). Accessed June 2023.
- ODNR Division of Geological Survey. 2023c. Karst Interactive Map. Available online at Karst Interactive Map Viewer (ohiodnr.gov). Accessed June 2023.
- Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA). 2006. Methods for Assessing Habitat in Flowing Waters: Using the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI).
- OEPA. 2020. Field Methods for Evaluating Primary Headwater Streams in Ohio. Version 4.1. Ohio EPA Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio. 130 pp.
- Parmalee, P. W. and A. E. Bogan. 1998. The Freshwater Mussels of Tennessee. University of Tennessee Press: Knoxville, Tennessee. 328 pp

ECOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT, SOUTH KENTON STATION EXPANSION PROJECT

References November 14, 2024

- Slater, G.L. and C. Rock. 2005. Northern Harrier (Circus cyaneus): a technical conservation assessment. [Online]. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available online at http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments/northernharrier.pdf. Accessed June 2023.
- Sparks, Dale W., Curtis J. Schmidt, and Jerry R. Choate. 2011. Bats of Kansas. Indiana State University Center for North American Bat Research and Conservation, Terre Haute, Indiana. 60 pp.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterway Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- USACE. 2002. Issuance of Nationwide Permits; Notice, 67 Fed. Reg. 10. January 15, 2002. Federal Register: The Daily Journal of the United States. Available at https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2002-01-15/pdf/02-539.pdf.
- USACE. 2005. Guidance on Ordinary High Water Mark Identification (Regulatory Guidance Letter, No. 05-05). Available online at http://www.usace.army.mil/Portals/2/docs/ civilworks/RGLS/rgl05-05.pdf. Accessed June 2023.
- USACE. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region (Version 2.0), ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-16. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Cente.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1994. Clubshell (Pleurobema clava) and Northern Riffleshell (*Epioblasma torulosa rangiana*) Recovery Plan. Prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Hadley, Massachusetts. 68 pp.
- USFWS. 2007. Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*) draft recovery plan: First revision. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ft. Snelling, Minnesota. 258 pp.
- USFWS. 2020. Northern Long-eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis). Available online at https://www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered/mammals/nleb/nlebFactSheet.html. Accessed January 17, 2022.
- USFWS. 2023a. Information for Planning and Consultation website. Available at https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/. Accessed June 2023.
- USFWS. 2023b. 2023 Range-wide Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat Survey Guidelines, March 2023. Available at https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/USFWS_Rangewide_IBat_%26_NLEB_Survey_Guidelines_2022.03.29.pdf. Accessed June 2023.
- Watters, G. T., M. A. Hoggarth, and D. H. Stansbery. 2009. The Freshwater Mussels of Ohio. The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, OH. 421 pp.

ECOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT, SOUTH KENTON STATION EXPANSION PROJECT

References November 14, 2024

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). 2022. Rainbow Shell (Villosa iris). Endangered Resources. Available at https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/EndangeredResources/Animals. Accessed June 2023.

Appendix A FIGURES

A.1 FIGURE 1 – PROJECT LOCATION MAP





A.2 FIGURE 2 – WETLAND AND WATERBODY DELINEATION MAP





Page 1 of 1

A.3 FIGURE 3 – HABITAT ASSESSMENT MAP



Figure No. 3 Title Habitat Assessme	ent Map	
<i>Client/Project</i> AEP Ohio Transmission South Kenton Station E	n Company, Inc. Expansion Project	092
Project Location T. of Buck, Hardin Co., OH	Prepared by MEK on 2024-1 TR by JD on 2024-1 IR by DJG on 2024-1	1-08 1-08 1-12
	0 100 200 Feet (At original document size of 11x17)	
Legend Existing Substation Project Area Photo Location Existing Culvert Field Delineated Waterway Approximate Waterway Approximate Uplar Drainage Feature Habitat Area Agricultural Land Maintained Lawn Existing Road Industrial Land	n	
Kenton Kenton Jago Harrison (Jago	Hardin Co.	
2. Data Sources: State 3. Background: NAIP 2023	SGS, OGRIP, USCB	

Page 1 of 1

A.4 FIGURE 4 – BAT HIBERNACULA DESKTOP STUDY MAP



Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. Stantec has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of the data.



Appendix B AGENCY CORRESPONDENCE





MIKE DEWINE, GOVERNOR

MARY MERTZ, DIRECTOR

Office of Real Estate John Kessler, Chief 2045 Morse Road – Bldg. E-2 Columbus, OH 43229 Phone: (614) 265-6621 Fax: (614) 267-4764

May 19, 2023

Daniel Godec Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 10200 Alliance Road, Suite 300 Cincinnati OH 45242

Re: 23-0437; South Kenton-North Waldo 138 kV Line Rebuild

Project: The proposed project involves rebuilding a 138 kV Line from Kenton to North Waldo.

Location: The proposed project is located in Buck & Dudley Townships of Hardin County, and Bowling Green, Green Camp, Pleasant, & Richland Townships of Marion County, Ohio.

The Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) has completed a review of the above referenced project. These comments were generated by an inter-disciplinary review within the Department. These comments have been prepared under the authority of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), the National Environmental Policy Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, Ohio Revised Code and other applicable laws and regulations. These comments are also based on ODNR's experience as the state natural resource management agency and do not supersede or replace the regulatory authority of any local, state or federal agency nor relieve the applicant of the obligation to comply with any local, state or federal laws or regulations.

Natural Heritage Database: The Natural Heritage Database has the following data within one mile of the project area:

Least Darter (*Etheostoma microperca*), SC Elktoe (*Alasmidonta marginata*), SC Creek Heelsplitter (*Lasmigona compressa*), SC Rainbow (*Villosa iris*), SC

The review was performed on the specified project area as well as an additional one-mile radius. Records searched date from 1980. Conservation status abbreviations are as follows: E = state endangered; T = state threatened; P = state potentially threatened; SC = state species of concern; SI = state special interest; U = state status under review; X = presumed extirpated in Ohio; FE = federally endangered, and FT = federally threatened.

Please note that Ohio has not been completely surveyed and we rely on receiving information from many sources. Therefore, a lack of records for an area is not a statement that rare species or unique features are absent from that area.

Fish and Wildlife: The Division of Wildlife (DOW) has the following comments.

The DOW recommends that impacts to streams, wetlands and other water resources be avoided and minimized to the fullest extent possible, and that Best Management Practices be utilized to minimize erosion and sedimentation.

The portion of the project west of Township Road 199 in Hardin County is within the vicinity of records for the Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), a state endangered and federally endangered species. Because presence of state endangered bat species has been established in this area, summer tree cutting is not recommended, and additional summer surveys would not constitute presence/absence in the area. However, limited summer tree cutting inside this buffer may be acceptable after further consultation with DOW (contact Eileen Wyza at Eileen.Wyza@dnr.ohio.gov).

In addition, the entire state of Ohio is within the range of the Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), a state endangered and federally endangered species, the northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis), a state endangered and federally endangered species, the little brown bat (Myotis *lucifugus*), a state endangered species, and the tricolored bat (*Perimvotis subflavus*), a state endangered species. During the spring and summer (April 1 through September 30), these bat species predominately roost in trees behind loose, exfoliating bark, in crevices and cavities, or in the leaves. The DOW recommends tree cutting only occur from October 1 through March 31, conserving trees with loose, shaggy bark and/or crevices, holes, or cavities, as well as trees with $DBH \ge 20$ if possible. However, if trees are present within this area, (outside of the area delineated above) and trees must be cut during the summer months, the DOW recommends a mist net survey or acoustic survey be conducted from June 1 through August 15, prior to any cutting. Mist net and acoustic surveys should be conducted in accordance with the most recent version of the "OHIO DIVISION OF WILDLIFE GUIDANCE FOR BAT SURVEYS AND TREE *CLEARING*". If state listed bats are documented, DOW recommends cutting only occur from October 1 through March 31. However, limited summer tree cutting may be acceptable after consultation with the DOW.

The DOW also recommends that a desktop habitat assessment is conducted, followed by a field assessment if needed, to determine if a potential hibernaculum is present within the project area. Direction on how to conduct habitat assessments can be found in the current USFWS "<u>RANGE-</u><u>WIDE INDIANA BAT & NORTHERN LONG-EARED BAT SURVEY GUIDELINES</u>.</u>" If a habitat assessment finds that a potential hibernaculum is present within 0.25 miles of the project area, please send this information to Eileen Wyza, for project recommendations. If a potential or known hibernaculum is found, the DOW recommends a 0.25-mile tree cutting and subsurface disturbance buffer around the hibernaculum entrance, however, limited summer or winter tree cutting may be acceptable after consultation with the DOW. If no tree cutting or subsurface impacts to a hibernaculum are proposed, this project is not likely to impact these species.

The project is within the range of the clubshell (*Pleurobema clava*), a state endangered and federally endangered mussel, the rayed bean (*Villosa fabalis*), a state endangered and federally endangered mussel, the purple lilliput (*Toxolasma lividus*), a state endangered mussel, and the pondhorn (*Uniomerus tetralasmus*), a state threatened mussel. This project must not have an impact on native mussels. This applies to both listed and non-listed species, as all species of mussel are protected in Ohio. Per the Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol (2022), all Group 2, 3, and 4 streams (Appendix A) require a mussel survey. Per the Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol, Group 1 streams (Appendix A) and unlisted streams with a watershed of 5 square miles or larger above the point of impact should be assessed using the Reconnaissance Survey for Unionid Mussels

(Appendix B) to determine if mussels are present. Mussel surveys may be recommended for these streams as well. Therefore, if in-water work is planned in any stream that meets any of the above criteria, the DOW recommends the applicant provide information to indicate no mussel impacts will occur. If this is not possible, the DOW recommends a professional malacologist conduct a mussel survey in the project area. If mussels that cannot be avoided are found in the project area, the DOW recommends a professional malacologist collect and relocate the mussels to suitable and similar habitat upstream of the project site. Mussel surveys and any subsequent mussel relocation should be done in accordance with the <u>Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol</u>. If there is no in-water work proposed, impacts to mussels are not likely.

The DOW recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from March 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact aquatic species.

The project is within the range of the eastern massasauga (*Sistrurus catenatus*), a state endangered and federally threatened snake species. The eastern massasauga uses a range of habitats including wet prairies, fens, and other wetlands, as well as drier upland habitat. The DOW recommends that an approved herpetologist conducts a habitat suitability survey to determine if suitable habitat is present within the project area. If suitable habitat is determined to be present; the DOW recommends that a presence/absence survey be conducted, or an avoidance/minimization plan be developed and implemented by the approved herpetologist. A list of <u>approved herpetologists</u> has been provided for your convenience.

The project is within the range of the northern harrier (*Circus hudsonius*), a state endangered bird. This is a common migrant and winter species. Nesters are much rarer, although they occasionally breed in large marshes and grasslands. Harriers often nest in loose colonies. The female builds a nest out of sticks on the ground, often on top of a mound. Harriers hunt over grasslands. If this type of habitat will be impacted, construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of April 15 through July 31. If this habitat will not be impacted, the project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the trumpeter swan (*Cygnus buccinator*), a state threatened bird. Trumpeter swans prefer large marshes and lakes ranging in size from 40 to 150 acres. They like shallow wetlands one to three feet deep with a diverse mix of plenty of emergent and submergent vegetation and open water. If this type of habitat will be impacted, construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of April 15 through June 15. If this habitat will not be impacted, this project is not likely to have an impact on this species.

The project is within the range of the upland sandpiper (*Bartramia longicauda*), a state endangered bird. Nesting upland sandpipers utilize dry grasslands including native grasslands, seeded grasslands, grazed and ungrazed pasture, hayfields, and grasslands established through the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). If this type of habitat will be impacted, construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of April 15 through July 31. If this type of habitat will not be impacted, the project is not likely to impact this species.

Due to the potential of impacts to federally listed species, as well as to state listed species, we recommend that this project be coordinated with the US Fish & Wildlife Service.

Water Resources: The Division of Water Resources has the following comment.

The <u>local floodplain administrator</u> should be contacted concerning the possible need for any floodplain permits or approvals for this project.

ODNR appreciates the opportunity to provide these comments. Please contact Mike Pettegrew at <u>mike.pettegrew@dnr.ohio.gov</u> if you have questions about these comments or need additional information.

Mike Pettegrew Environmental Services Administrator



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Ecological Services 4625 Morse Road, Suite 104 Columbus, Ohio 43230 (614) 416-8993 / FAX (614) 416-8994



June 12, 2023

Project Code: 2023-0068762

Dear Mr. Godec:

The U.S Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has received your recent correspondence requesting information about the subject proposal. We offer the following comments and recommendations to assist you in minimizing and avoiding adverse impacts to threatened, endangered, and proposed species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq), as amended (ESA).

Federally Threatened and Endangered Species: The endangered Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis) and northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis) occur throughout the State of Ohio. The Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat may be found wherever suitable habitat occurs unless a presence/absence survey has been performed to document absence. Suitable summer habitat for Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats consists of a wide variety of forested/wooded habitats where they roost, forage, and breed that may also include adjacent and interspersed non-forested habitats such as emergent wetlands and adjacent edges of agricultural fields, woodlots, fallow fields, and pastures. Roost trees for both species include live and standing dead trees ≥ 3 inches diameter at breast height (dbh) that have any exfoliating bark, cracks, crevices, hollows and/or cavities. These roost trees may be located in forested habitats as well as linear features such as fencerows, riparian forests, and other wooded corridors. Individual trees may be considered suitable habitat when they exhibit the characteristics of a potential roost tree and are located within 1,000 feet of other forested/wooded habitat. Northern long-eared bats have also been observed roosting in human-made structures, such as buildings, barns, bridges, and bat houses; therefore, these structures should also be considered potential summer habitat. In the winter, Indiana bats and northern longeared bats hibernate in caves, rock crevices and abandoned mines.

<u>Federally Proposed Species</u>: On September 14, 2022, the Service proposed to list the tricolored bat (*Perimyotis subflavus*) as endangered under the ESA. The bat faces extinction due to the impacts of white-nose syndrome, a deadly disease affecting cave-dwelling bats across the continent. During spring, summer, and fall, this species roosts primarily among leaf clusters of live or recently dead trees, emerging at dusk to hunt for insects over waterways and forest edges. While white-nose syndrome is by far the most serious threat to the tricolored bat, other threats now have an increased significance due to the dramatic decline in the species' population. These threats include disturbance to bats in roosting, foraging, commuting, and over-wintering habitats. Mortality due to collision with wind turbines, especially during migration, has also been documented across their range. Conservation measures for the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat will also help to conserve the tricolored bat.

Seasonal Tree Clearing for Federally Listed Bat Species: Should the proposed project site contain trees ≥ 3 inches dbh, we recommend avoiding tree removal wherever possible. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination with this office is requested to determine if fall or spring portal surveys are warranted. If no caves or abandoned mines are present and trees ≥ 3 inches dbh cannot be avoided, we recommend removal of any trees ≥ 3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31. Seasonal clearing is recommended to avoid adverse effects to Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats.

If implementation of this seasonal tree cutting recommendation is not possible, a summer presence/absence survey may be conducted for Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats. If Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats are not detected during the survey, then tree clearing may occur at any time of the year. Surveys must be conducted by an approved surveyor and be designed and conducted in coordination with the Ohio Field Office. Surveyors must have a valid federal permit. Please note that in Ohio summer mist net surveys may only be conducted between June 1 and August 15.

<u>Section 7 Coordination</u>: If there is a federal nexus for the project (e.g., federal funding provided, federal permits required to construct), then no tree clearing should occur on any portion of the project area until consultation under section 7 of the ESA, between the Service and the federal action agency, is completed. We recommend the federal action agency submit a determination of effects to this office, relative to the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat, for our review and concurrence. This letter provides technical assistance only and does not serve as a completed section 7 consultation document.

<u>Stream and Wetland Avoidance</u>: Over 90% of the wetlands in Ohio have been drained, filled, or modified by human activities, thus is it important to conserve the functions and values of the remaining wetlands in Ohio (<u>https://epa.ohio.gov/portals/47/facts/ohio_wetlands.pdf</u>). We recommend avoiding and minimizing project impacts to all wetland habitats (e.g., forests, streams, vernal pools) to the maximum extent possible in order to benefit water quality and fish and wildlife habitat. Additionally, natural buffers around streams and wetlands should be preserved to enhance beneficial functions. If streams or wetlands will be impacted, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers should be contacted to determine whether a Clean Water Act section 404 permit is required. Best management practices should be used to minimize erosion, especially on slopes. Disturbed areas should be mulched and revegetated with native plant species. In addition, prevention of non-native, invasive plant establishment is critical in maintaining high quality habitats.

Due to the project type, size, and location, we do not anticipate adverse effects to any other federally endangered, threatened, or proposed species, or proposed or designated critical habitat. Should the project design change, or additional information on listed or proposed species or their critical habitat become available, or if new information reveals effects of the action that were not previously considered, coordination with the Service should be initiated to assess any potential impacts.

Thank you for your efforts to conserve listed species and sensitive habitats in Ohio. We recommend coordinating with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources due to the potential for the proposed project to affect state listed species and/or state lands. Contact Mike Pettegrew, Environmental Services Administrator, at (614) 265-6387 or at <u>mike.pettegrew@dnr.ohio.gov</u>.

If you have questions, or if we can be of further assistance in this matter, please contact our office at (614) 416-8993 or <u>ohio@fws.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

al

Patrice Ashfield Field Office Supervisor

cc: Nathan Reardon, ODNR-DOW Eileen Wyza, ODNR-DOW

Appendix C REPRESENTATIVE PHOTOGRAPHS

C.1 WETLAND AND WATERBODY PHOTOGRAPHS





Photo Location 1. View of upland (agricultural land and maintained lawn habitat) at wetland determination point SP01. Photograph taken facing north.



Photo Location 1. View of upland (agricultural land and maintained lawn habitat) at wetland determination point SP01. Photograph taken facing south.





Photo Location 2. View of upland (maintained lawn habitat and industrial land) at wetland determination point SP02. Photograph taken facing east.



Photo Location 2. View of upland (maintained lawn habitat) at wetland determination point SP02. Photograph taken facing west.





Photo Location 3. View of upland (maintained lawn and agricultural land habitat) at wetland determination point SP03. Photograph taken facing north.



Photo Location 3. View of upland (maintained lawn habitat) at wetland determination point SP03. Photograph taken facing south.





Photo Location 4. View of Stream 1. Photo taken facing upstream/north.



Photo Location 4. View of Stream 1. Photo taken facing downstream/south.





Photo Location 4. View of substrates of Stream 1.

C.2 HABITAT PHOTOGRAPHS





Photo Location 1. Representative view of industrial land (South Kenton Station) and maintained lawn within the Project area. Photograph taken facing northeast.



Photo Location 2. Representative view of agricultural land within the Project area. Photograph taken facing south.

Appendix D DATA FORMS

D.1 WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Midwest Region

Project/Site: Applicant: Investigator #1: Soil Unit: Landform: Slope (%): Are climatic/hyc Are Vegetation Are Vegetation SUMMARY OF Hydrophytic Ve Wetland Hydro	South Kent AEP Ohio Aaron Kwo PkA - Pewam Plain 0 drologic conc a, Soil a, FINDINGS getation Pre- ogy Present	on Station Expansic Transmission Complek o silty clay loam, 0 to 1 pe <u>Latitude:</u> ditions on the site tyj or Hydrology □ sig or Hydrology □ nat sent?	on Project any Inc. ercent slopes <u>40.623296</u> pical for this nificantly di urally probl	Invest Loc Ls time of sturbed? ematic? Pematic?	igator #2: cal Relief: ongitude: year? (⊮r	Savann None -83.5811 no, explain i	Stantec Project #: ah Pheanis WI/WWI Classification: 40 n remarks) Are normal circumsta ☑ Yes	239001092 : N/A Datum: Ves nces present? NC Hydric Soils Is This Samo	No Present?	Date: County: State: Wetland ID: Sample Point: Community ID: Section: Township: Range: Within A Wetla	04/19/23 Hardin Ohio N/A SP01 UPL Dir: Yes and? Yes	⊡ No ■ No
Remarks:	ogy i rocon			_ 100	_ 110				Sing Font	With the second		
HYDROLOGY												
Wetland Hydr	ology Indic	ators (Check here it	f indicators	are not	present	Ģ						
	A1 - Surface A2 - High Wa A3 - Saturati B1 - Water M B2 - Sedimer B3 - Drift De B4 - Algal Ma B5 - Iron De B7 - Inundati B8 - Sparsel	Water ater Table on larks nt Deposits posits at or Crust posits on Visible on Aerial Ima y Vegetated Concave S	igery iurface		B9 - Wate B13 - Aqu B14 - Tru C1 - Hydr C3 - Oxid C4 - Pres C6 - Rece C7 - Thin D9 - Gauç Other (Ex	er-Stained uatic Faun e Aquatic rogen Sulf ized Rhizc ence of R ent Iron Ra Muck Sur ge or Well plain in Ra	Leaves a Plants ide Odor ospheres on Living Roots educed Iron eduction in Tilled Soils face Data emarks)			B6 - Surface So B10 - Drainage C2 - Dry-Seaso C8 - Crayfish B C9 - Saturation D1 - Stunted or D2 - Geomorph D5 - FAC-Neutr	il Cracks Patterns n Water Table urrows Visible on Aerial In Stressed Plants ic Position al Test	nagery
Field Observat Surface Water Water Table Pr Saturation Pres	ions: Present? esent? ent?	□Yes ☑No □Yes ☑No □Yes ☑No	Depth: Depth: Depth:		(in.) (in.) (in.)			Wetland Hy	drology Pi	resent? □	Yes 🗹 No	
Describe Record	ed Data (str	eam gauge, monitorir	ng well, aeri	al photos	, previous	inspection	ons), if available:		N/A			
Remarks:												
SOILS												
Map Unit Name	:	PkA - Pewamo silty	clay loam,	0 to 1 p	ercent slo	pes						/
Profile Descrip	tion (Describe to	the depth needed to document the ind	licator or confirm the	absence of indic	ators.) (Type: C=	Concentration,	D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Cover	red/Coated Sand Grains; L	ocation: PL=Pore Li	ning, M=Matrix)		
Тор	Bottom			Matrix			Rede	ox Features			Texture	
Depth	Depth	Horizon	Color (N	/loist)	%		Color (Moist)	%	Туре	Location	(e.g. clay, sand	l, loam)
0	16	1	10YR	4/4	100						silty clay lo	am
A1- Histosol S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix A16 - Coast Prairie Redox A2 - Histic Epipedon S5 - Sandy Redox S7 - Dark Surface A3 - Black Histic S6 - Stripped Matrix F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface A5 - Stratified Layers F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix Other (Explain in Remarks) A10 - 2 cm Muck F3 - Depleted Matrix Other (Explain in Remarks) A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface Other (Explain in Remarks) A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions S3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat 'Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless dist Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless dist										es Irface	or problematic.	
(If Observed)	Туре:			Depth:				Hydric Soil	Present?		Yes 🗹 No	
Remarks:	Restrictive Layer Type: Depth: Hydric Soil Present? Ves Vo (If Observed) Remarks:											



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Midwest Region

Tujeci/Sile.	South Kenton Station Expans	SIGNEROJECI				Wedalid ID. N/A	
EGETATION	(Species identified in all uppercase	e are non-native	species.)			
ree Stratum (P	lot size: 30 ft radius)						
	Species Name		% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status	Dominance Test Worksheet	
1.							
2.						Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0 (A)
3.						•	()
4						Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	1 (B)
5							. (2)
5.						Dereent of Deminent Species That Are ORL FACW, or FAC	0% (A/P)
0.						Fercent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, of FAC.	
1.							
8.						Prevalence index worksneet	
9.						Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
10.						OBL spp. 0 $x = 0$	
	Т	Fotal Cover =	0			FACW spp. 0 $x 2 = 0$	
						FAC spp. 0 $x 3 = 0$	
apling/Shrub St	ratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)					FACU spp. 40 x 4 = 160	
1.						UPL spp. $60 \times 5 = 300$	
2.						···	
3.						Total 100 (A) 460	(B)
4							\- <i>\</i>
5						Provolonce Index = P/A = -4.600	
5.							
0.							
7.							
8.						Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
9.						Yes I No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic V	egetation
10.						☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%	
	Т	Fotal Cover =	0			☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *	
						🛛 Yes 🖾 No 🛛 Morphological Adaptations (E	xplain) *
lerb Stratum (Pl	ot size: 5 ft radius)					🗆 Yes 🖾 No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetal	ion (Explain) *
1.	Festuca arundinacea		50	Y	UPL	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(1)
2	Taraxacum officinale		10	N	FACU	* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrolo	ogy must be
3	Plantago lanceolata		10	N	FACU	present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
0.			10	N	EACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strate:	
4.			10		FACU	Deminitions of vegetation Strata.	
5.	Fragaria vesca		10	IN	UPL	Tree	
6	Trifolium repens		10	N	FACU	Very Street - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more	e in diameter at
7.						breast neight (DBH), regardless of	neight.
8.							
9.						Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH a	and greater than 3.28
10.						rt. taii.	
11.							
12.						Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants	, regardless of size,
13						and woody plants less than 3.28 ft.	tall.
10.							
14.						Woody Vince - All woody vines greater than 3 28 ft	. in height.
10.		Tatal Course				TTOOLY THISS - A HOOLY THIS grader alar 0.20	
	I	otal Cover =	100				
loody Vine Stra	tum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)						
1.							
2.							
3.						Hydrophytic Vegetation Present	Yes 🗹 No
4.							
5							
<u>.</u>	т	Total Cover -	0				
)omorko:			U				
emarks.							

Page 2 of 2



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Midwest Region

Project/Site: Applicant: Investigator #1: Soil Unit: Landform: Slope (%): Are climatic/hyd	South Kent AEP Ohio T Tyler Gillett Pk: Pewano si Terrace 0 Irologic cond	on Station Expansic Fransmission Comp te Ity clay loam, 0-1% slope Latitude: litions on the site ty	on Project any Inc. ^{ss} <u>40.61963</u> pical for this	Investi Loc s time of	igator #2: al Relief: ongitude: year? ((fr	Perry G N Convex -83.581	Stantec Project #: Gardiner NWI/WWI Classification: 305 n remarks)	239001092 : Datum: ☑ Yes □	WGS84 No	Date: County: State: Wetland ID: Sample Point: Community ID: Section:	04/19/23 Hardin Ohio N/A SP02 UPL	
Are Vegetation Are Vegetation	□, Soil □, □, Soil □, EINDINCS	or Hydrology □ sig or Hydrology □ nat	nificantly di urally probl	sturbed? ematic?			Are normal circumsta ☑ Yes	inces present? N⊡	2	Township: Range:	 Di	:
Hydrophytic Ve	getation Pres	sent?		🗆 Yes	s ⊡ No			Hydric Soils	Present?		🗆 Ye	es ⊡ No
Wetland Hydrol	ogy Present	?		□ Yes	; 🗹 No	1		Is This Samp	oling Point	Within A Wetl	and? 🔲 Ye	es ☑ No
Remarks:	Upland with	in station property										
HYDROLOGY												
Wetland Hydr	ology Indica	ators (Check here i	f indicators	are not	present	Ā						
	A1 - Surface A2 - High Wa A3 - Saturatic B1 - Water M B2 - Sedimer B3 - Drift Dep B4 - Algal Ma B5 - Iron Dep B7 - Inundatic B8 - Sparsely	Water tter Table on larks It Deposits oosits It or Crust it or Crust oosits on Visible on Aerial Ima v Vegetated Concave S	gery urface		B9 - Wate B13 - Aqu B14 - Tru C1 - Hydr C3 - Oxid C4 - Pres C6 - Recc C7 - Thin D9 - Gaug Other (Ex	er-Stained uatic Faun e Aquatic rogen Sulf ized Rhizo ence of R ent Iron Ro Muck Sur ge or Well plain in Ro	l Leaves a Plants ide Odor ospheres on Living Roots leduced Iron eduction in Tilled Soils face I Data emarks)			B6 - Surface Sc B10 - Drainage C2 - Dry-Seaso C8 - Crayfish B C9 - Saturation D1 - Stunted or D2 - Geomorph D5 - FAC-Neutr	il Cracks Patterns n Water Table urrows Visible on Aer Stressed Plar ic Position al Test	al Imagery ts
Field Observat Surface Water Water Table Pr Saturation Pres	ions: Present? esent? ent?	□ Yes ☑ No □ Yes ☑ No □ Yes ☑ No	Depth: Depth: Depth:		(in.) (in.) (in.)			Wetland Hy	drology P	resent? □	Yes ☑ No)
Describe Record	ed Data (stre	eam gauge, monitorii	ng well, aeri	al photos	, previous	inspection	ons), if available:		N/A			
Remarks:												
SOILS												
Map Unit Name	: 4 ¹	Pk: Pewano silty cla	ay loam, 0-	1% slope	es							
Top	Rottom	the depth needed to document the inc	icator or confirm the	Matrix	ators.) (Type: C=	Concentration,	D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Cover	red/Coated Sand Grains; L	ocation: PL=Pore Li	ning, M=Matrix)	Tex	ure
Depth	Depth	Horizon	Color (I	Moist)	%		Color (Moist)	%	Type	Location	(e.g. clay, s	and, loam)
0	21	1	10YR	4/3	100						silty cla	y loam
											-	-
											-	-
											-	
												_
											-	-
											-	-
NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present Indicators for Problematic Soils ¹ A1- Histosol S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix A16 - Coast Surface A2 - Histic Epipedon S5 - Sandy Redox S7 - Dark Surface A3 - Black Histic S6 - Stripped Matrix F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface A10 - 2 cm Muck F3 - Depleted Matrix Other (Explain in Remarks) A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface Other (Explain in Remarks) A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral S1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions ' Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless d									es irface present, unless dist.	rbed or problematic.		
Restrictive Layer	Туре:			Depth:				Hydric Soil	Present?		Yes 🗵 No)
Remarks:								-				


WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Midwest Region

Project/Site:	South Kenton Station Expa	ansion Project				Wetland ID: N/A Sample Point: SP
EGETATION	(Species identified in all upper	case are n <u>on-native</u>	species.)		
ree Stratum (F	Plot size: 30 ft radius)			/		
	Species Name		% Cover	Dominant	Ind Status	Dominance Test Worksheet
1	openee Hame		70 00101	Dominant	<u>ind.otdtd5</u>	
1.						
Ζ.						Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
3.						
4.						Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
5.						
6.						Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33% (A/B)
7						
0						Dravalance Index Werkshoet
0.						
9.						Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
10.						OBL spp. 0 $x 1 = 0$
		Total Cover =	0			FACW spp. $0 \times 2 = 0$
						FAC spp. 40 x 3 = 120
anling/Shrub S	tratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)					FACU spp 60 x 4 = 240
1						$\frac{1100 \text{ spp.}}{1100 \text{ spp.}} 0 \qquad x 5 = 0$
י. כ						$01 \perp \text{spp.}$ $0 \land 0 = 0$
۷.						
3.						Total <u>100</u> (A) <u>360</u> (B)
4.						
5.						Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.600
6.						
7						
7.						Iludra ale dia Mandatian Indiantana
8.						Hydrophytic vegetation indicators:
9.						Yes I No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
10.						☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
		Total Cover =	0			☐ Yes
						☐ Yes
arh Stratum /P	lot size: 5 ft radius)					\square Ves \square No \square Problem Hydronbytic Vegetation (Explain) *
1	Tarayacum officinale		30	V	FACU	
1.			00	I V	TACU	* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be
Ζ.	Tholium pratense		20	Y	FACU	present, unless disturbed or problematic.
3.	Trifolium repens		10	N	FACU	
4.	Poa pratensis		40	Y	FAC	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
5.						
6						Tree - Weady plants 2 in (7 fem) or more in diameter at
7						breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
7.						
8.						
9.						Sapling/Shrub - woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft. tail
10.						ee with
11.		-				
12.						Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size,
13						and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.
1.						
14.						Manuel, Minute All weather since granter than 2.00 ft in height
15.						WOODY VINES - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. In height.
		Total Cover =	100			
oody Vine Stra	atum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)					
1						
י. כ			-			
۷.						
3.						Hydrophytic Vegetation Present Yes No
4.						
5						
υ.		Total Covor =	0			
0.						
emarks:						



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Midwest Region

Project/Site:	South Kent	on Station Expansio	on Project				Stantec Project #:	239001092		Date:	04/19/23	
Applicant:	AEP Ohio 1	Fransmission Comp	any Inc.	Invoit	iantor #2:	Dorny	ordinor			County:	Hardin	
Soil Unit:	Dk: Dowono o	le ilty aloy loom		Invest	igator #2:	Perry G	W/I/W/W/I Classification:			State: Wotland ID:		
Landform.	Terrace	iity ciay ioam,		Loc	al Relief [.]	Convex				Sample Point	SP03	
Slope (%):	0	Latitude:	40.62347		onaitude:	-83.579	623	Datum:	WGS84	Community ID:	UPL	
Are climatic/hyd	drologic cond	ditions on the site ty	pical for this	s time of	year? (If r	no, explain i	n remarks)	☑ Yes □	No	Section:		
Are Vegetation	□, Soil □,	or Hydrology 🗆 sig	nificantly di	sturbed	?		Are normal circumstar	nces present?)	Township:		
Are Vegetation	□, Soil □,	or Hydrology 🗆 nat	turally probl	ematic?			Yes	N		Range:	Dir:	
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS											
Hydrophytic Ve	getation Pre	sent?			s ⊡ No			Hydric Soils	Present?		Yes	⊡ No
Wetland Hydro	logy Present	?		☐ Yes	s ⊡ No			Is This Samp	oling Point	Within A Wetla	and? 🗖 Yes	I No
Remarks:	Upland	Maintained	lawn within	station	property							
Wetland Hydr	ology Indic:	ators (Check here i	findicators	are not	nresent	Ī						
Primarv			muicators	are not	present	μ.			Secondary:			
	A1 - Surface	Water			B9 - Wate	er-Stained	Leaves			B6 - Surface So	oil Cracks	
	A2 - High Wa	ater Table			B13 - Aqu	atic Faun	a Dianta			B10 - Drainage	Patterns	
	R1 - Water M	on Iarks			C1 - Hvdr	e Aquatic oden Sulf	Plants ide Odor		H	C2 - Dry-Seaso C8 - Cravfish Bi	n water Table	
	B2 - Sedimer	nt Deposits			C3 - Oxid	ized Rhizo	ospheres on Living Roots			C9 - Saturation	Visible on Aerial	Imagery
	B3 - Drift Dep	posits			C4 - Pres	ence of R	educed Iron			D1 - Stunted or	Stressed Plants	
	B4 - Algal Ma B5 - Iron Der	at or Crust		H	C6 - Rece	ent Iron Re Muck Sur	eduction in Tilled Soils		H	D2 - Geomorph	ic Position al Test	
	B7 - Inundatio	on Visible on Aerial Ima	agery		D9 - Gau	ge or Well	Data			Do Thomas		
	B8 - Sparsely	Vegetated Concave S	Surface		Other (Ex	plain in Re	emarks)					
Field Observa	tions:				(:)							
Surface Water	Present?	∐Yes ⊻ No	Depth:		(In.) (in.)			Wetland Hyd	drology P	resent? 🛛	Yes 🗵 No	
Saturation Pres	esent?	Li Yes ⊠ No	Depth:		(III.) (in.)							
Describe Description				-1	(11.)	·	() if such that		N1/A			
Describe Record	ied Data (Str	eam gauge, moniton	ng well, aen	ai photos	, previous	Inspectio	ons), il avaliable:		N/A			
Remarks.												
SOILS												
Map Unit Name) :	Pk: Pewano silty cl	ay loam,									
Profile Descri	otion (Describe to	the depth needed to document the in	dicator or confirm the	absence of indi	cators.) (Type: C=	Concentration,	D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed/Coated Sand Grains; L	ocation: PL=Pore Li	ning, M=Matrix)		
Тор	Bottom			Matrix			Redox Features				Textu	re
Depth	Depth	Horizon	Color (Moist)	%		Color (Moist)	%	Туре	Location	(e.g. clay, sa	nd, Ioam)
0	21	1	10YR	4/3	100						silty clay	loam
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir	 dicators (check ba	 	 	 	 		 	 for Problem	 		
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol	 ndicators (check he	 ere if indicat	tors are	 not prese S4 - Sanc	 nt ☑ ly Gleyed	 Matrix	 <u>Indicators</u>	 for Probler A16 - Coast	 natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox		
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep	 ndicators (check he	 ere if indicat	tors are	 not prese S4 - Sand S5 - Sand	 ht Gleyed dy Redox	 Matrix	 Indicators	 for Probler A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S	 natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox urface		
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black H	 ndicators (check he pipedon istic	 ere if indicat	tors are	 not prese S4 - Sanc S5 - Sanc S6 - Strip	 Int Iy Gleyed Iy Redox ped Matrix	 Matrix	 Indicators	For Problem A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-M	 Prairie Redox urface langanese Mass Schellow Dark St	es	
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Strattfier	 	 ere if indicat		 not prese S4 - Sanc S5 - Sanc S6 - Strip F1 - Loan F2 - Loan	 nt by Gleyed dy Redox ped Matrix ny Muck M ny Gleved	 Matrix K lineral Matrix	 Indicators 	For Probler A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-N TF12 - Very Other (Expla	 Prairie Redox urface langanese Mass Shallow Dark Su ajin in Remarks)	es urface	
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black H A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A10 - 2 cm M	 ndicators (check he bipedon stic sin Sulfide d Layers fuck	 ere if indicat		 not prese S4 - Sanc S5 - Sanc S6 - Strip F1 - Loan F2 - Loan F3 - Deple	 nt y Gleyed dy Redox ped Matrix ny Muck M ny Gleyed eted Matri	 Matrix K lineral Matrix X	 Indicators 	 for Probler A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	 natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox urface Manganese Mass Shallow Dark Su ain in Remarks)	es urface	
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete	 	 ere if indicat		 not prese S4 - Sanc S5 - Sanc S6 - Strip F1 - Loan F2 - Loan F3 - Deple F6 - Redc	 ht dy Gleyed dy Redox ped Matrix hy Muck M hy Gleyed eted Matri xx Dark Su	 Matrix K Matrix Matrix x urface	 Indicators 	 for Probler A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-N TF12 - Very Other (Expla	 Prairie Redox urface langanese Mass Shallow Dark Su ain in Remarks)	es urface	
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3- Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M	 	 ere if indicat		 S4 - Sanc S5 - Sanc S6 - Strip F1 - Loan F2 - Loan F3 - Deple F6 - Redc F7 - Deple F8 - Redc	 ty Gleyed ty Redox ped Matrix ny Muck M ny Gleyed eted Matri ox Dark Su eted Dark ox Derres	 Matrix K fineral Matrix x urface Surface Sions	 Indicators 	 for Probler A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-N TF12 - Very Other (Expla	 Prairie Redox urface fanganese Mass Shallow Dark Su ain in Remarks)	es urface	
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mt	 	 ere if indicat		 S4 - Sanc S5 - Sanc S6 - Strip F1 - Loan F3 - Deple F6 - Redc F7 - Deple F8 - Redc	 ty Gleyed ty Redox ped Matrix ny Muck M ny Gleyed eted Matri ox Dark S to Z Dark ox Darks to Z Darks	 Matrix K Matrix X Matrix X urface Surface sions	Indicators	 for Probler A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-N TF12 - Very Other (Expla	 Prairie Redox urface langanese Mass Shallow Dark St ain in Remarks)	es urface	ed or problematic.
NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mt	 	 ere if indicat	tors are	 S4 - Sanc S5 - Sanc S6 - Strip F1 - Loan F2 - Loan F3 - Deple F6 - Redc F7 - Deple F8 - Redc	 ht y Gleyed y Redox ped Matrix ny Muck M ny Gleyed teted Matri xx Dark Su teted Dark xx Depres	 Matrix K Matrix X urface Surface sions	Indicators indicators type type Hydric Soil	Figure 2	 Prairie Redox Urface Manganese Mass Shallow Dark Su ain in Remarks) vetland hydrology must be	== == == == == == == == == == == == ==	id or problematic.
NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mt	 	 ere if indicat	Lors are	 S4 - Sanc S5 - Sanc S6 - Strip F1 - Loan F3 - Depli F6 - Redc F7 - Depli F8 - Redc	 ht y Gleyed y Redox ped Matrix ny Muck M ny Gleyed eted Matrix xx Dark Su eted Dark xx Depres	 Matrix K fineral Matrix X urface Surface sions	Indicators	Figure 2	 Prairie Redox Urface Ianganese Mass Shallow Dark St ain in Remarks) vetland hydrology must be	es urface Yes Vo	ed or problematic.
 NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplett A12 - Thick IC S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mt	 	 ere if indicat	Lors are	 	 iy Gleyed iy Redox ped Matrix ny Muck M ny Gleyed eted Matri xx Dark St eted Dark xx Depres	 Matrix (Matrix x urface Surface sions	Indicators	Figure 2	 Prairie Redox urface langanese Mass Shallow Dark St ain in Remarks)	es urface Present, unless disturbe Yes I No	ed or problematic.
NRCS Hydric	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mt	 	 ere if indicat	Lors are	 	 iy Gleyed iy Redox ped Matrix ny Muck M ny Gleyed eted Matri xx Dark St eted Dark xx Depres	 Matrix K fineral Matrix X urface Surface sions	Indicators	Figure 2	 Prairie Redox urface langanese Mass Shallow Dark St ain in Remarks)	es urface Present, unless disturbe Yes I No	od or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Remarks:	 Soil Field Ir A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3- Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick I S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mt	 	 ere if indicat	Lors are	 S4 - Sanc S5 - Sanc S6 - Strip F1 - Loan F3 - Depli F6 - Redc F7 - Depli F8 - Redc	 iy Gleyed iy Redox ped Matrix ny Muck M ny Gleyed eted Matri xx Dark St eted Dark xx Depres	 Matrix (fineral Matrix x urface Surface sions	Indicators	 for Probler A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Explain tic vegetation and v Present?	 Prairie Redox urface langanese Mass Shallow Dark St ain in Remarks)	es urface Present, unless disturbe Yes I No	ed or problematic.



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Midwest Region

roject/Site:	South Kenton Station Expa	nsion Project				Wettand ID: N/A Sample Point. S
GETATION	(Species identified in all upperca	ase are non-native	species.)		
ee Stratum (F	Plot size: 30 ft radius)					Densing and Table Manhada
1	Species Name		% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status	Dominance lest worksneet
1. 2						Number of Deminent Species that are OPI EACIN or EAC: (A)
<u>Z.</u>						Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, of FAC: [(A)
3.						
4.						I otal number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
5.						
6.						Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33%</u> (A/B)
1.						
8.						Prevalence Index Worksheet
9.						Total % Cover of: <u>Multiply by:</u>
10.		T / 1 0				$OBL spp. 0 \qquad x \ 1 = 0$
		I otal Cover =	0			FACW spp. 0 $x 2 = 0$
						FAC spp. 30 x 3 = 90
pling/Shrub S	tratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)					FACU spp. 70 x 4 = $\frac{280}{2}$
1.						UPL spp. 0 $x 5 = 0$
2.						
3.						Total 100 (A) 370 (B)
4.						
5.						Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.700
6.						
7.						
8.						Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.						Yes I No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
10.						☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50%
		Total Cover =	0			Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
						□ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
rb Stratum (P	lot size: 5 ft radius)					🗆 Yes 🖾 No 🛛 Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *
1.	Taraxacum officinale		40	Y	FACU	
2.	Trifolium pratense		20	Y	FACU	 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present unless disturbed or problematic
3.	Trifolium repens		10	Ν	FACU	present, unless disturbed of problematic.
4.	Poa pratensis		30	Y	FAC	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
5.						•
6						Tree - Woodv plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at
7.						breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8.						
9.						Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28
10						ft. tall.
11						
12						Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size,
12.						and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.
14						
14.						Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height
10.		Total Cause	100			TTOOLY TINGS Hoody hinds ground and been an holyne.
		i otai Cover =	100			
adu Vizz Oʻ	Num (Distaire, 20 ftlive)					
Judy vine Stra	atum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)					
ו. ס						
۷.						Indee should be set of a Barrow of the set
3.						Hydrophytic vegetation Present Yes No
4.						
5.						
		Total Cover =	0			
emarks:						

D.2 HHEI/QHEI DATA FORMS

Headwater Habitat Evaluation Index Field Form HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1+2+3)	7]
SITE NAME/LOCATION <u>South Kenton Station Expansion Project</u> SITE NUMBER <u>Stream</u> RIVER BASIN <u>Sciolo</u> RIVER CODE <u>DRAINAGE AREA (MP)</u> LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) <u>200</u> LAT <u>40.623 2.54</u> LONG <u>83.57950 2</u> RIVER MILE <u>DATE <u>4/19/23</u> SCORER <u>Gille He</u> COMMENTS <u></u> NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Headwater Habitat Evaluation Index Field Manual" for Inst STREAM CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS: <u>NONE/NATURAL CHANNEL</u> RECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR N</u>	TUCTIONS
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type present). Check ONL Y two predominant substrate TYPE boxes. (Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B TYPE PERCENT TYPE BLDR SLABS [16 pts] SLT [3 pt] 0 BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts] LEAF PACKWOODY DEBRIS [3 pts] 10 BEDROCK [16 pts] FINE DETRITUS [3 pts] 10 COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts] 40 CLAY or HARDPAN [0 pt] GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts] 30 MUCK [0 pts] 10 Total of Percentages of Bkdr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock 40 (A) 21 TOTAL NUMBER OF SUBSTRATE TYPES: 51	HHEI Metric Points Substrate Max = 40 Z B A + B
2. Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 feet) evaluation reach at the time of evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONL Y one box): > 30 centimeters [20 pts] 5 cm - 10 cm [15 pts] > 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] < 5 cm [5pts]	Pool Depth Max = 30
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3 - 4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box): > 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8")[15 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] ≤ 1.0 m (≤ 3' 3")[5 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 4' 8" - 9' 7")[20 pts]	Bankfull Width Max=30
COMMENTS AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters)	20
This information <u>mustalso be completed</u> RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY * NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream*	
RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Per Bank) L R Wide >10m Image: Mature Forest, Wetland Image: Conservation Tillage Moderate 5-10m Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Field Urban or Industrial Narrow <5m	op -
FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (intermitte Subsurface flow with isolated pools (interstitial) Dry channel, no water (ephemeral) COMMENTS SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel)	nt) T
None 1.0 2.0 3.0 0.5 1.5 2.5 >3	
STREAM GRADIENT ESTIMATE	m 4.
May 2125 Revision Page 1	

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also be Completed):
QHEI PERFORMED? Ves INO QHEI Score (If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S)
WWH Name: Scioto River Distance from Evaluated Stream
CWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream
LI EWH Name:Distance from Evaluated Stream
MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATER SHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION.
USGS Quadrangle Name: <u>Mt. Victory</u> NRCS Soil Map Page:NRCS Soil Map Stream Order:
County: Hardin Township/City: Kenton
MISCELLANEOUS
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Date of last precipitation://7/23 Quantity:0.05
Photo-documentation Notes:
Elevated Turbidity?(Y/N): Canopy (% open):
Were samples collected for waterchemistry?(Y/N): Lab Sample # or ID (attach results):
Field Measures:Temp (°C) 13 , g Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) pH (S.U.) 8 , / Conductivity (umhos/cm), 78
Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) If not, explain:
Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:
BIOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS (Record all observations below)
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Species observed (if known):
Frogs or Tadpoles Observed? (Y/N) / Species observed (if known):
Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) Species observed (if known):
Aquatic Macroinvertebrates Observed? (Y/N) Species observed (if known):
Comments Regarding Biology: Not Conducted
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed)
Include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location
culvert rople
Marsta Lawn risfle
Cooperate t
FLOW R° ST
6000 ()) and 577
The part part
April 1
cik. I

	TGP6501
OhioEPA Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index and Use Assessment Field Sheet	OHEI Score: 44.5
Stream & Location: South Kenton Station Expansion Project.	RM: Date: 4_1.19_1 68 23
Stream]Scorers Full Name & Affiliation:	Fyler Gillette Istanter
River Code:	54 183. 579502 location
I SUBSTRATE Check ONET Two substrate TYPE DOLES, estimate % or note every type present Check O	NE (Or 2 & average)
BEST TYPES POOL RIFFLE OTHER TYPES POOL RIFFLE ORIGIN BLDR /SLABS [10] HARDPAN [4] Image: Constant of the state of the stat	GUALITY HEAVY [-2] SILT MODERATE [-1] MODERATE [-1] FREE [1] MODERATE [-1] MODERATE [-1] MODERATE [-1] Maximum 20 Maximum 20
2] <i>INSTREAM COVER</i> Indicate presence 0 to 3: 0-Absent; 1-Very small amounts or if more commor quality; 2-Moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts or quality; 3-Highest quality in moderate or greater amounts (e.g., very large boulders in deep or fast water, diameter log that is stable, well developed rootwad in deep / fast water, or deep, well-defined, functional proceeding of the stable, well developed rootwad in deep / fast water, or deep, well-defined, functional proceeding of the stable	AMOUNT of marginal of highest large check ONE (Or 2 & average) cools. EXTENSIVE >75% [11] RS [1] MODERATE 25-75% [7] ES [1] SPARSE 5-<25% [3] RIS [1] NEARLY ABSENT <5% [1] Cover Maximum
SINUOSITY DEVELOPMENT CHANNELIZATION STABILITY □ HIGH [4] □ EXCELLENT [7] □ NONE [6] □ HIGH [3] □ MODERATE [3] □ GOOD [5] □ RECOVERED [4] □ MODERATE [2] □ LOW [2] □ FAIR [3] □ RECOVERING [3] □ LOW [1] □ NONE [1] □ POOR [1] □ RECENT OR NO RECOVERY [1]	Channel Maximum 20
4] BANK EROSION AND RIPARIAN ZONE Check ONE in each category for EACH BANK (Or River right looking downstream	2 per bank & average) Y CONSERVATION TILLAGE [1] URBAN OR INDUSTRIAL [0] III URBAN OR INDUSTRIAL [0] Indicate predominant land use(s) past 100m riparian. Maximum 10 2.5 Maximum 10
5] POOL / GLIDE AND RIFFLE / RUN QUALITY MAXIMUM DEPTH CHANNEL WIDTH CURRENT VELOCITY Check ONE (ONLY!) Check ONE (Or 2 & average) Check ALL that apply Im [6] POOL WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] TORRENTIAL [-1] SLOW [1] Im [7] POOL WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Interstitut Im [7] POOL WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [1] VERY FAST [1] INTERSTITUT Im [7] POOL WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [0] FAST [1] INTERMITT Im [7] POOL WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [0] FAST [1] INTERSTITUT Im [7] POOL WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [0] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [1] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [1] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [1] Im [7] POOL width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] Image: Pool width > RIFFLE WIDTH [2]	Recreation Potential Primary Contact Secondary Contact (circle one and comment on back) res. Pool/ Current Maximum 12
Indicate for functional riffles; Best areas must be large enough to support a of riffle-obligate species: Check ONE (Or 2 & average). RIFFLE DEPTH RUN DEPTH RIFFLE / RUN SUBSTRATE RIFF BEST AREAS > 10cm [2] MAXIMUM > 50cm [2] STABLE (e.g., Cobble, Boulder) [2] BEST AREAS 5-10cm [1] MAXIMUM < 50cm [1] MOD. STABLE (e.g., Large Gravel) [1] BEST AREAS < 5cm [metric=0] Comments	population Image: Non RIFFLE [metric=0] LE / RUN EMBEDDEDNESS Image: None [2] Image: Low [1] Image: Nonderate [0] Run of R
6] <i>GRADIENT</i> ([(ℓ, ¬) ft/mi) □ VERY LOW - LOW [2-4] DRAINAGE AREA □ MODERATE [6-10] ({ 9 mi ²) □ HIGH - VERY HIGH [10-6] %RUN: 30 %	%GLIDE: Cradient %GLIDE: Gradient Maximum 10
EPA 4520	06/16/06

